



# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name   | County-District-School (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval Date |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Fletcher Hills Elementary School learning community | 37-68197-609844                   | May 27, 2021                           | June 15, 2021             |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Our School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) aligns with the District's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) as each goal (and its associated strategy(ies)/activity(ies)) can be referenced in the current LCAP. The district's LCAP is the foundation for all expenditures incurred by the District. Based on the needs assessment and goals found in the LCAP, the school will utilize federal funds, when applicable, to supplement base funding in accordance with the purpose and goals of each specific goal. Federal funds, if received, will be used as outlined in the School Plan for Student Achievement to purchase supplemental materials not part of the adopted standards aligned curriculum and core base programs.

# Table of Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| SPSA Title Page .....                           | 1  |
| Purpose and Description.....                    | 1  |
| Table of Contents.....                          | 2  |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components ..... | 3  |
| Data Analysis .....                             | 3  |
| Surveys .....                                   | 3  |
| Classroom Observations.....                     | 4  |
| Analysis of Current Instructional Program.....  | 5  |
| Stakeholder Involvement .....                   | 10 |
| School and Student Performance Data .....       | 12 |
| Student Enrollment.....                         | 12 |
| CAASPP Results.....                             | 14 |
| ELPAC Results .....                             | 18 |
| Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures..... | 20 |
| Goal 1.....                                     | 20 |
| Goal 2.....                                     | 25 |
| Goal 3.....                                     | 30 |
| Goal 4.....                                     | 36 |
| School Site Council Membership .....            | 40 |
| Recommendations and Assurances .....            | 41 |

# Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

## Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Our school's comprehensive needs assessment included the solicitation of input and feedback from our stakeholders (parents, teachers, staff, etc.). In a typical school year, we would distribute an annual parent survey to gather data regarding the climate and safety of the school and to solicit input about the strengths and areas for growth of the many programs and services we offer. Due to the closure of our school from March 2020-April 2021, we felt it was necessary to distribute numerous surveys and make other types of connections throughout the 2020-21 school year to continue to meet the changing needs of our students, families, and staff.

Consultations and connections with our stakeholders took place through virtual Zoom meetings, online surveys, phone conversations, and student home visits (when possible) and allowed us to assess our educational program, academic supports, social-emotional and behavioral supports, parent engagement, and school connectedness. We expedited the stakeholder engagement cycle due to Covid-19 and immediately reviewed the data in order to implement changes to our practices in support of our students, their families, and our staff. A parent survey will be conducted closer to the end of the school year.

As our 2020-21 school year began, our first priority was to ensure the safety of our learning community, technology needs, and to provide food and resources to our families. As such, our first surveys revolved around the basic needs of our students and their families. Once those basic needs were addressed, subsequent surveys were distributed.

Surveys sent in preparation for or during the 2020-21 school year included (but were not limited to) topics such as :

- Student Technology Needs
- Student/Family Community Resources Needs
- Student Learning Options (Online/Hybrid)
- Student Transportation/Childcare Needs
- Staff Technology Needs
- Staff Reopening Questions/Concerns
- Staff Classroom Needs (desk partitions, etc.)

Parent data indicated a desire for more support for struggling students, more printed materials, longer virtual instructional days, more consistent hours from classroom to classroom (in consideration of siblings' schedules), and a uniform Learning Management System (LMS) for teachers to use. Teacher data displayed the desire for more training and direction in providing instruction for students in the virtual model. All stakeholders shared comments about the safety needed when returning to in-person learning.

The Extended School Services (ESS) program is housed on our campus and provides before and after school childcare in an academic and recreational setting. ESS also distributed parent surveys to participating families at our school site. The survey provides a method of monitoring needs and strengths of the program. Results are shared with all ESS employees and action plans are developed and put in place to address growth opportunities.

Highlights from this year's data include an overwhelmingly positive response from parents regarding child safety. Over 95% of parents surveyed feel that the ESS program provides a safe and happy environment for their child(ren). Additionally, 94% of parents surveyed feel that they have a clear understanding of the policies and guidelines that are in place to help prevent the spread of COVID-19. Over 90% of parents agree that the ESS team interacts positively with children and effectively communicates with parents regarding program activities and schedules. There was an increase of 4% from last year's survey of parents who feel that the ESS office team are courteous and professional when providing information regarding their account and responding to parent questions. Opportunities for growth within the department include continuing to establish procedures to support students with their asynchronous learning as well as improved parent communications regarding student achievements and struggles.

Our school will continue to solicit input and feedback from our stakeholders and utilize the data to improve the work that we are doing in support of our students, families, and staff.

## **Classroom Observations**

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

### **Daily principal Observations:**

To monitor daily instructional practices in order to coach teachers, provide targeted feedback and support, and collect evidence on the professional development needs of staff.

### **Supervisory Classroom Observations:**

Formal teacher evaluations, to evaluate teacher's performance as outlined by the labor contract. These are observation cycles that include both formal and informal observations with feedback meetings.

### **District Walks:**

To collect district or school-wide evidence of progress in the implementation of the SPSA and principal goals – to build coherence, identify strengths, areas for growth, and next steps for professional learning, student support, and teaching and learning across the system.

### **Principal Network Walks:**

To build a common language and understanding of high quality teaching and learning through inquiry and to build a collaborative network that provides feedback and suggestions to the school on a focus area.

## **Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## **Standards, Assessment, and Accountability**

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Through Professional Learning Communities, teachers analyze both state yearly assessments and district benchmark assessments taken at specific intervals throughout the year. Teachers set goals and modify adopted curriculum and instruction to address students' needs in both classroom instruction and Response to Intervention (RTI) groupings and topics.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Teachers use curriculum-embedded unit, weekly, and daily assessments to monitor student progress toward mastering grade level standards. Formative assessments lead to modifications in instruction to meet the needs of students.

## **Staffing and Professional Development**

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

Staff at our school site meet the requirements for being highly qualified.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

All teachers and counselors at our school site are properly credentialed.

All teachers will participate in site-based staff development that takes place 1-2 times a month. We believe that building teacher capacity needs to be systematic and collaborative. Professional development is supported with daily interactions with and feedback from the principal. Individuals and collaborative teams identify areas of focus based on student need and site priorities which include:

using assessment to guide instruction, re-engaging students and families in the school community, PLC principles, and equity.

Additionally, teachers can participate in district offerings to supplement site professional development. Teachers are currently afforded the opportunity to participate in professional development online; however, when in-person learning restrictions are lifted, educators will have the opportunity to participate in face-to-face and blended professional development. Online courses have included:

- Academic Conversations 1.0
- Building Sentences for English Learners
- Google Classroom 2.0
- Increase Student Engagement, Decrease Challenging Behaviors
- Inquiry and Collaboration Techniques from a Distance
- Intro to Zoom
- Khan Academy Course Mastery for Asynchronous Math Support
- Lexia/PowerUp: Data, Instruction, Resources
- Making PDFs and Worksheets Interactive in Google Classroom
- Math in a Hybrid World
- NGSS 101: Intro to the Crosscutting Concepts
- Responding to Behavior Escalation
- Student Voice and Engagement
- Trauma and ODD: Information, Strategies, & Supports
- Write to Learn - Reflective Writing
- Zoom for Small Group Instruction

If there are in-person learning restrictions in place due to COVID-19 during the 2021-22 school year, the professional development for our educators will continue to occur online. Regardless of the learning format, our educators are eager to engage in professional development, and the District is committed to providing them with many tools, strategies, and training to support all learning models.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Staff development is provided to teachers and other staff to address the identified needs of our students. Areas of need are identified by student achievement data, stakeholder input, and observed areas of need. Growth and improvement are measured through principal classroom walkthrough data and student performance. Needs assessments and feedback are elicited in conjunction with each professional growth offering. Based on the feedback, professional growth is adjusted to increase effectiveness. The District is intentional about providing a through line of professional learning that targets the professional growth of new teachers, developing teachers, teacher leaders, new administrators, and veteran administrators.

All staff development is focused on:

- California Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in English-Language Arts and Literacy in History-Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science (Next Generation Science Standards-NGSS)
- California English Language Development (ELD) Standards
- Implementation of the 5D Framework for High-Quality Teaching and Learning
- Development of strong PLCs
- Research-based classroom instruction and best practices
- Creation and use of assessments to inform and modify instruction
- High quality instruction for distance learning (brought to us with educational research partners Doug Fisher, Nancy Frey, and John Hattie)

Site level professional development includes site learning day presentations and PLC work. Teachers work collaboratively to plan instruction based on essential standards, reflect on lessons, and analyze student work and assessment data, to improve their practice and increase student achievement. Staff development at our school site in the 2021-22 school year will focus on using assessment to guide instruction, re-engaging students and families in the school community, PLC principles, and equity.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Site-based professional development, grade-level collaboration, principal observation, and feedback/coaching provide on-going assistance and capacity building for teachers. (Response to Intervention support (Resource Teacher, Teachers on Special Assignment, and Special Education support staff) all support classroom instruction.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Each grade-level/department at our school has regularly scheduled meetings to collaborate on the analysis of data, lesson design, and the use of instructional strategies and best practices that work to improve student achievement and performance. Each meeting is designed in professional learning community (PLC) format where each member takes a leadership role and an expectation of reflective conversations surround data analysis, program effectiveness, and "moving the needle" toward student success and achievement. Professional learning communities allow for an ongoing process in which educators work collaboratively in recurring cycles of collective inquiry and action research to achieve better results for the students they serve. Professional learning communities operate under the assumption that the key to improved learning for students is continuous job-embedded learning for educators.



## Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Following the state adoption cycles, the district-provided curriculum materials and instruction are in alignment with the California Content Standards.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

Instructional minutes in all subject areas are monitored by the District. All teachers turn in their classroom schedule to school administration to ensure adherence to the District guidelines.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Essential Standards in math and language arts provide a framework for lesson pacing. All students have a copy of each textbook and/or workbook for core curriculum. Student daily schedules are modified to allow for intervention as needed.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

Each classroom and student has easy access to adopted curriculum assigned to them in all content areas. The adoption of instructional materials process includes a preview to determine that they are appropriate for the student groups for whom they are purchased.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

All students have State Board of Education adopted materials for their courses, intervention materials (when needed), and access to standards-aligned core courses. Use of materials is monitored by school administration on a daily basis.

## Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

All students receive Common Core standards-based instruction at their appropriate grade level. In classrooms, teachers provide additional access to the curriculum through small group instruction. In ELD classes, students are grouped by instructional level, and are assessed and regrouped appropriately. Teachers are required to examine student work samples and meet in subject specific and/or department-level meetings to ensure that students are mastering standards. They also determine student needs, adjust instruction, and plan re-instruction accordingly. Intervention action plans are developed when appropriate. The Student Study Team process is used to identify and monitor students at risk. Interventions are agreed upon and implemented by the classroom teacher in collaboration with the student's family.



## Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Information from state assessments (when available), common assessments, benchmark assessments, needs assessments and surveys, and staff development provide the basis for School Site Council and administrative planning. The use of data to modify and drive instruction with effective evidence-based instructional strategies is our major focus to raise student achievement.

In 2021-22 the following evidence-based educational practices will be employed and focused on to increase and improve student achievement across the campus and across all disciplines:

Professional Learning Communities (PLC)  
Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS)  
Multi-tiered Systems of Support (MTSS)  
Second Step Social-Emotional Learning  
Lexia Power Up  
Lexia Core 5

## Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Opportunities are provided throughout the year so that families can learn to support their children academically and behaviorally.

To support successful school and family interactions, the district is committed to engaging parents through:

- Parent education workshops provided by district staff and local community agencies
- The use of multiple methods of communication (flyers, phone calls, district/school websites) to promote participation
- The continuance of School Site Councils and English Learner Advisory Committees at each site who send a representative from each site to the district-level parent advisory committees, known as the District Advisory Committee and the District English Learner Advisory Committee
- The distribution of a district-wide parent survey with disaggregated results for each site that can lead to improved results
- The maintenance of a district website with current resources available to parents to support them in working with their children's academic, social, and emotional needs
- Enhancing the awareness and skills of teachers, principals, and staff in reaching out to, communicating with, and working with parents as equal partners

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

All stakeholders (parents, community members, teachers, and other school personnel) have an opportunity to give input on the use of federal funds (as applicable) and the implementation of programs. Specifically, at our school site, the members of School Site Council (SSC) and English Language Advisory Council (ELAC) meet to discuss these matters directly with each other. The District holds monthly stakeholder meetings with parents (DELAC and District Advisory Committee (DAC) where district programs are discussed. In addition, the District holds meetings throughout the year with other stakeholder groups (classified staff, certificated staff, administrative staff) to obtain input about district programs.

### Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Our school does not receive any categorical funds.

### Fiscal support (EPC)

Our school does not receive any categorical funds.

## **Stakeholder Involvement**

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

### **Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update**

Feedback and input from key stakeholder groups are critical for the ongoing success of our students. As part of the planning process for the 2021-22 School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), school leadership met with the School Site Council (SSC) and the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). For the 2020-2021 school year, ELAC has delegated its responsibilities to the School Site Council. Achievement data (local and state, if available) was shared with these groups and analyzed for trends and patterns of under-performance. That analysis is summarized in the SPSA as the Annual Review at the end of each Goal.

School stakeholder involvement meeting dates were as follows:

- ELAC: Responsibilities delegated to the School Site Council for the 2020-2021 school year.
- SSC # 4 Meeting, Date April 15, Time 2:30 pm; SSC #5 Meeting, Date May 20, 2021, Time 2:30 pm
- Staff Meetings where the 2021-22 SPSA goals, metrics were discussed: Dates April 12, April 26 and May 10th, Times 2:30 pm
- Other meetings where the 2021-22 SPSA goals, metrics (PTA, etc.) were discussed, Date April 6, April 16 and May 4, Time 10:00 am

Feedback from these meetings resulted in the development of goals to be included in the SPSA (Planned Improvement Goals). The District Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) was also reviewed to ensure that the Planned Improvement goals of the SPSA aligned with the goals outlined

in the District's LCAP.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |                       |        |        |                    |       |       |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Student Group                  | Percent of Enrollment |        |        | Number of Students |       |       |
|                                | 17-18                 | 18-19  | 19-20  | 17-18              | 18-19 | 19-20 |
| American Indian                | %                     | %      | 0%     |                    |       | 0     |
| African American               | 2.41%                 | 1.37%  | 1.54%  | 16                 | 9     | 10    |
| Asian                          | 2.26%                 | 2.74%  | 2.92%  | 15                 | 18    | 19    |
| Filipino                       | 1.36%                 | 1.37%  | 0.61%  | 9                  | 9     | 4     |
| Hispanic/Latino                | 23.68%                | 25%    | 27.8%  | 157                | 164   | 181   |
| Pacific Islander               | 0.30%                 | 0.3%   | 0.31%  | 2                  | 2     | 2     |
| White                          | 62.44%                | 61.89% | 60.22% | 414                | 406   | 392   |
| Multiple/No Response           | %                     | 0.15%  | 0%     |                    | 1     | 0     |
| Total Enrollment               |                       |        |        | 663                | 656   | 651   |

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level |                    |       |       |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade                             | Number of Students |       |       |
|                                   | 17-18              | 18-19 | 19-20 |
| Kindergarten                      | 107                | 108   | 124   |
| Grade 1                           | 81                 | 86    | 78    |
| Grade 2                           | 108                | 89    | 87    |
| Grade3                            | 84                 | 111   | 93    |
| Grade 4                           | 93                 | 77    | 106   |
| Grade 5                           | 95                 | 98    | 75    |
| Grade 6                           | 95                 | 87    | 88    |
| Total Enrollment                  | 663                | 656   | 651   |

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment               |                    |       |       |                     |       |       |
|---|--------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| Student Group                                 | Number of Students |       |       | Percent of Students |       |       |
|   | 17-18              | 18-19 | 19-20 | 17-18               | 18-19 | 19-20 |
| English Learners                              | 23                 | 23    | 17    | 3.5%                | 3.5%  | 2.6%  |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP)               | 16                 | 17    | 23    | 2.4%                | 2.6%  | 3.5%  |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 4                  | 2     | 6     | 14.8%               | 8.7%  | 26.1% |

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |                        |       |                      |       |                           |       |                      |       |
|--|------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| Grade Level                            | # of Students Enrolled |       | # of Students Tested |       | # of Students with Scores |       | % of Students Tested |       |
|  | 17-18                  | 18-19 | 17-18                | 18-19 | 17-18                     | 18-19 | 17-18                | 18-19 |
| Grade 3                                | 83                     | 111   | 80                   | 107   | 80                        | 107   | 96.4                 | 96.4  |
| Grade 4                                | 95                     | 79    | 94                   | 78    | 94                        | 78    | 98.9                 | 98.7  |
| Grade 5                                | 95                     | 96    | 93                   | 96    | 93                        | 96    | 97.9                 | 100   |
| Grade 6                                | 95                     | 87    | 94                   | 85    | 94                        | 85    | 98.9                 | 97.7  |
| All Grades                             | 368                    | 373   | 361                  | 366   | 361                       | 366   | 98.1                 | 98.1  |

| Overall Achievement for All Students |                  |        |                     |       |                |       |                       |       |                    |       |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| Grade Level                          | Mean Scale Score |        | % Standard Exceeded |       | % Standard Met |       | % Standard Nearly Met |       | % Standard Not Met |       |
|                                      | 17-18            | 18-19  | 17-18               | 18-19 | 17-18          | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18              | 18-19 |
| Grade 3                              | 2515.2           | 2476.6 | 70.00               | 46.73 | 17.50          | 24.30 | 7.50                  | 20.56 | 5.00               | 8.41  |
| Grade 4                              | 2526.8           | 2534.3 | 44.68               | 58.97 | 34.04          | 19.23 | 10.64                 | 10.26 | 10.64              | 11.54 |
| Grade 5                              | 2548.0           | 2549.5 | 38.71               | 35.42 | 37.63          | 41.67 | 8.60                  | 12.50 | 15.05              | 10.42 |
| Grade 6                              | 2580.7           | 2583.9 | 37.23               | 37.65 | 41.49          | 40.00 | 10.64                 | 15.29 | 10.64              | 7.06  |
| All Grades                           | N/A              | N/A    | 46.81               | 44.26 | 33.24          | 31.42 | 9.42                  | 15.03 | 10.53              | 9.29  |

| Reading<br>Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|--|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|  | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3  | 71.25            | 49.53 | 22.50                 | 41.12 | 6.25             | 9.35  |
| Grade 4  | 47.87            | 50.00 | 44.68                 | 41.03 | 7.45             | 8.97  |
| Grade 5  | 45.16            | 44.79 | 40.86                 | 46.88 | 13.98            | 8.33  |
| Grade 6  | 43.62            | 44.71 | 44.68                 | 38.82 | 11.70            | 16.47 |
| All Grades   | 51.25            | 47.27 | 38.78                 | 42.08 | 9.97             | 10.66 |

| Writing<br>Producing clear and purposeful writing |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|---|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level                                       | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|   | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3   | 56.25            | 28.04 | 37.50                 | 61.68 | 6.25             | 10.28 |
| Grade 4   | 30.85            | 43.59 | 54.26                 | 43.59 | 14.89            | 12.82 |
| Grade 5   | 43.01            | 37.50 | 41.94                 | 50.00 | 15.05            | 12.50 |
| Grade 6   | 44.68            | 31.76 | 41.49                 | 62.35 | 13.83            | 5.88  |
| All Grades  | 43.21            | 34.70 | 44.04                 | 54.92 | 12.74            | 10.38 |

| Listening<br>Demonstrating effective communication skills |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|---|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level   | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|   | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3   | 43.75            | 40.19 | 55.00                 | 55.14 | 1.25             | 4.67  |
| Grade 4   | 39.36            | 43.59 | 58.51                 | 51.28 | 2.13             | 5.13  |
| Grade 5   | 35.48            | 25.00 | 56.99                 | 65.63 | 7.53             | 9.38  |
| Grade 6   | 29.79            | 38.82 | 62.77                 | 51.76 | 7.45             | 9.41  |
| All Grades  | 36.84            | 36.61 | 58.45                 | 56.28 | 4.71             | 7.10  |

| Research/Inquiry<br>Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|--|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|  | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3  | 66.25            | 39.25 | 28.75                 | 52.34 | 5.00             | 8.41  |
| Grade 4  | 46.81            | 46.15 | 42.55                 | 42.31 | 10.64            | 11.54 |
| Grade 5  | 50.54            | 45.83 | 40.86                 | 43.75 | 8.60             | 10.42 |
| Grade 6  | 58.51            | 49.41 | 35.11                 | 44.71 | 6.38             | 5.88  |
| All Grades   | 55.12            | 44.81 | 37.12                 | 46.17 | 7.76             | 9.02  |



# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |                        |       |                      |       |                           |       |                      |       |
|--|------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| Grade Level                            | # of Students Enrolled |       | # of Students Tested |       | # of Students with Scores |       | % of Students Tested |       |
|  | 17-18                  | 18-19 | 17-18                | 18-19 | 17-18                     | 18-19 | 17-18                | 18-19 |
| Grade 3                                | 83                     | 111   | 81                   | 106   | 81                        | 106   | 97.6                 | 95.5  |
| Grade 4                                | 95                     | 79    | 94                   | 78    | 94                        | 78    | 98.9                 | 98.7  |
| Grade 5                                | 95                     | 96    | 93                   | 96    | 93                        | 96    | 97.9                 | 100   |
| Grade 6                                | 95                     | 87    | 95                   | 85    | 94                        | 85    | 100                  | 97.7  |
| All Grades                             | 368                    | 373   | 363                  | 365   | 362                       | 365   | 98.6                 | 97.9  |

| Overall Achievement for All Students |                  |        |                     |       |                |       |                       |       |                    |       |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| Grade Level                          | Mean Scale Score |        | % Standard Exceeded |       | % Standard Met |       | % Standard Nearly Met |       | % Standard Not Met |       |
|                                      | 17-18            | 18-19  | 17-18               | 18-19 | 17-18          | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18              | 18-19 |
| Grade 3                              | 2509.6           | 2482.6 | 54.32               | 42.45 | 33.33          | 33.02 | 6.17                  | 16.04 | 6.17               | 8.49  |
| Grade 4                              | 2524.7           | 2532.1 | 45.74               | 48.72 | 25.53          | 29.49 | 21.28                 | 12.82 | 7.45               | 8.97  |
| Grade 5                              | 2539.1           | 2553.0 | 37.63               | 44.79 | 26.88          | 21.88 | 18.28                 | 19.79 | 17.20              | 13.54 |
| Grade 6                              | 2561.0           | 2563.9 | 27.66               | 31.76 | 25.53          | 31.76 | 35.11                 | 20.00 | 11.70              | 16.47 |
| All Grades                           | N/A              | N/A    | 40.88               | 41.92 | 27.62          | 29.04 | 20.72                 | 17.26 | 10.77              | 11.78 |

| Concepts & Procedures<br>Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|--|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|  | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3  | 67.90            | 54.72 | 25.93                 | 33.02 | 6.17             | 12.26 |
| Grade 4  | 56.38            | 66.67 | 30.85                 | 20.51 | 12.77            | 12.82 |
| Grade 5  | 44.09            | 53.13 | 32.26                 | 27.08 | 23.66            | 19.79 |
| Grade 6  | 39.36            | 44.71 | 41.49                 | 38.82 | 19.15            | 16.47 |
| All Grades   | 51.38            | 54.52 | 32.87                 | 30.14 | 15.75            | 15.34 |

| Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis<br>Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|--|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|  | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3  | 67.90            | 50.00 | 25.93                 | 38.68 | 6.17             | 11.32 |
| Grade 4  | 41.49            | 55.13 | 42.55                 | 34.62 | 15.96            | 10.26 |
| Grade 5  | 39.78            | 30.21 | 38.71                 | 57.29 | 21.51            | 12.50 |
| Grade 6  | 29.79            | 29.41 | 46.81                 | 49.41 | 23.40            | 21.18 |
| All Grades   | 43.92            | 41.10 | 38.95                 | 45.21 | 17.13            | 13.70 |

| Communicating Reasoning<br>Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |                  |       |                       |       |                  |       |
|--|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | % Above Standard |       | % At or Near Standard |       | % Below Standard |       |
|  | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                 | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 |
| Grade 3  | 69.14            | 48.11 | 24.69                 | 42.45 | 6.17             | 9.43  |
| Grade 4  | 40.43            | 48.72 | 54.26                 | 46.15 | 5.32             | 5.13  |
| Grade 5  | 34.41            | 32.29 | 46.24                 | 55.21 | 19.35            | 12.50 |
| Grade 6  | 27.66            | 38.82 | 50.00                 | 42.35 | 22.34            | 18.82 |
| All Grades   | 41.99            | 41.92 | 44.48                 | 46.58 | 13.54            | 11.51 |

# School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data<br>Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |         |       |               |       |                  |       |                           |       |
|--|---------|-------|---------------|-------|------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | Overall |       | Oral Language |       | Written Language |       | Number of Students Tested |       |
|  | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18         | 18-19 | 17-18            | 18-19 | 17-18                     | 18-19 |
| Grade K  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | *     |
| Grade 1  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | *     |
| Grade 2  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | 6     |
| Grade 3  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | 6     |
| Grade 4  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | *     |
| Grade 5  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | *     |
| Grade 6  | *       | *     | *             | *     | *                | *     | *                         | *     |
| All Grades   |         |       |               |       |                  |       | 25                        | 23    |

| Overall Language<br>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |                          |       |
|---|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| Grade Level   | Level 4 |       | Level 3 |       | Level 2 |       | Level 1 |       | Total Number of Students |       |
|   | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |
| K   | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| 2   | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| 4   | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| 5   | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| 6   |         | *     | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| All Grades  | 56.00   | 30.43 | *       | 43.48 | *       | 26.09 | *       | 0.00  | 25                       | 23    |

| Oral Language<br>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |                          |       |
|--|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| Grade Level  | Level 4 |       | Level 3 |       | Level 2 |       | Level 1 |       | Total Number of Students |       |
|  | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |
| K  | *       | *     |         | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| 2  | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| 5  | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| 6  | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| All Grades   | 72.00   | 43.48 | *       | 47.83 |         | 8.70  | *       | 0.00  | 25                       | 23    |

| Written Language<br>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |                          |       |
|---|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| Grade Level   | Level 4 |       | Level 3 |       | Level 2 |       | Level 1 |       | Total Number of Students |       |
|   | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18   | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |
| K   | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| 1   | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| 2   | *       | *     | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| 4   | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *                        | *     |
| 5   | *       | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| 6   |         | *     | *       | *     |         | *     | *       | *     | *                        | *     |
| All Grades  | 48.00   | 30.43 | *       | 26.09 | *       | 30.43 | *       | 13.04 | 25                       | 23    |

| Listening Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |                     |       |           |       |                          |       |    |
|---|----------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------------------|-------|----|
| Grade Level   | Well Developed |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       | Beginning |       | Total Number of Students |       |    |
|   | 17-18          | 18-19 | 17-18               | 18-19 | 17-18     | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |    |
| 5   | *              | *     | *                   | *     | *         | *     | *                        | *     | *  |
| All Grades  | 60.00          | 34.78 | *                   | 65.22 | *         | 0.00  | 25                       |       | 23 |

| Speaking Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |                     |       |           |       |                          |       |    |
|--|----------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------------------|-------|----|
| Grade Level  | Well Developed |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       | Beginning |       | Total Number of Students |       |    |
|  | 17-18          | 18-19 | 17-18               | 18-19 | 17-18     | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |    |
| All Grades   | 60.00          | 60.87 | *                   | 39.13 | *         | 0.00  | 25                       |       | 23 |

| Reading Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |                     |       |           |       |                          |       |    |
|---|----------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------------------|-------|----|
| Grade Level   | Well Developed |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       | Beginning |       | Total Number of Students |       |    |
|   | 17-18          | 18-19 | 17-18               | 18-19 | 17-18     | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |    |
| K   | *              | *     | *                   | *     | *         | *     | *                        | *     | *  |
| 2   | *              | *     | *                   | *     | *         | *     | *                        | *     | *  |
| All Grades  | 48.00          | 17.39 | *                   | 69.57 | *         | 13.04 | 25                       |       | 23 |

| Writing Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |                     |       |           |       |                          |       |    |
|---|----------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------------------|-------|----|
| Grade Level   | Well Developed |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       | Beginning |       | Total Number of Students |       |    |
|   | 17-18          | 18-19 | 17-18               | 18-19 | 17-18     | 18-19 | 17-18                    | 18-19 |    |
| 6   | *              | *     | *                   | *     | *         | *     | *                        | *     | *  |
| All Grades  | 52.00          | 34.78 | *                   | 65.22 | *         | 0.00  | 25                       |       | 23 |

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Academic Excellence

## LEA/LCAP Goal

LCAP Goal #1 - Achieve

We will increase achievement for all of our students while decreasing performance gaps and educational inequities.

LCAP Goal #3 - Equip

We will equip our students with the tools necessary to realize their fullest potential.

## Goal 1

We will ensure high-quality teaching and learning that is standards-aligned and results in mastery for each and every student.

## Identified Need

As a school committed to high levels of learning and achievement, we remain focused on continuous improvement. This goal is the result of what our achievement data reveals about our students and how we intend on addressing them. Based on 2018-2019 SBAC data, our overall percentage of students who are meeting or exceeding standard in the area of Language Arts remained the same over the past two years and did not show the 3% anticipated increase from 2017-2018. SBAC data further indicates that our overall percentage of students who met or exceeded standards in the area of Math increased 3% in 2018 to 2019 meeting our school goal for Math. Our school goals in the areas language arts and math continue to be areas of focus for the 2021-2022 school year due to the suspension of statewide testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator  | Baseline/Actual Outcome  | Expected Outcome   |
|---|--|--|
| English Language Arts <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Students meeting standard on SBAC will increase 3%.</li><li>Students performing at or above grade level on i-Ready will increase 3%.</li><li>Student groups scoring below the school average will show an increase greater than 3%.</li></ul> | (17-18) 80% of students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.<br><br>(18-19) 75% of students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.<br><br>(19-20) SBAC data is not available due to the suspension of state testing.<br><br>(20-21) 51% of students performed at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in ELA. | 78% of students in grades 3-6 will meet or exceed standard as measured by SBAC ELA.<br><br>75% of students will perform at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in ELA. |

| Metric/Indicator   | Baseline/Actual Outcome   | Expected Outcome  |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Mathematics</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students meeting standard on SBAC will increase 3%.</li> <li>Students performing at or above grade level on i-Ready will increase 3%.</li> <li>Student groups scoring below the school average will show an increase greater than 3%.</li> </ul> | <p>(17-18) 68% of students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(18-19) 71% of students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(19-20) SBAC data is not available due to the suspension of state testing.</p> <p>(20-21) 43% of students performed at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in Mathematics.</p> | <p>74% of students in grades 3-6 will meet or exceed standard as measured by SBAC Mathematics.</p> <p>71% of students will perform at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in Mathematics.</p> |
| Percentage of students reading at grade level at the end of Trimester 1 will increase by 3%.   | 68% of students are reading at grade level at the end of Trimester 1.   | 71% of students will be reading at grade level at the end of Trimester 1.   |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

### Strategy/Activity

Develop strong Professional Learning Communities (PLCs). Our PLC is a group of educators that meet regularly, share expertise, and work collaboratively to improve teaching skills and the overall achievement of all students.

- Staff meetings, site learning days, and grade-level meetings will be used to develop strong PLCs based on the collective responsibility all members of the PLC share for the achievement of all students and to increase effective instruction in each and every classroom.
- PLCs will focus on becoming more effective at delivering LMSV Essential Standards-aligned curriculum and instruction to each and every student.
- Staff will deepen understanding of effective use of assessment data through collective inquiry to drive instruction, re-teaching, and intervention.
- District will fund a full-time Instruction and Data Support Teacher. This teacher will support teachers in analyzing data and developing appropriate interventions.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

| Amount(s) | Source(s) |
|-----------|-----------|
|-----------|-----------|

**Strategy/Activity 2**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

**Strategy/Activity**

Our site will utilize the 5 Dimensions of Teaching and Learning Instructional Framework to develop a common language and shared vision for high-quality instruction.

- Establish a shared understanding and common language for high quality teaching and learning using the Framework for the Five Dimensions of High Quality Teaching and Learning (5Ds).
- Classroom walk-throughs to observe analyze and calibrate evidence of high quality teaching and learning.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

| Amount(s) | Source(s) |
|-----------|-----------|
|-----------|-----------|

**Strategy/Activity 3**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

**Strategy/Activity**

Teachers will focus on aligning curriculum, instructional practice, and assessment with LMSV Essential Standards.

- Teachers will use District developed resources to inform instructional decisions around assessment design and best instructional practice in alignment with LMSV Essential Standards.
- Staff meetings, site learning days, grade-level meetings, and release days will be used to support teachers in deepening their understanding of ELA & Math essential standards through standards unwrapping, defining grade-level mastery of essential standards, and engaging in backwards planning.
- Grade-level teams will use standards unwrapping resources to identify targets, determine formative assessments, and plan instruction.
- Teachers will participate in professional development to fortify math content knowledge and increase math instruction expertise.



**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

|           |           |
|-----------|-----------|
| Amount(s) | Source(s) |
|-----------|-----------|

**Strategy/Activity 4**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

**Strategy/Activity**

We will implement a school-wide system of differentiated instruction and interventions to meet the needs of all students.

- Grade-level teams will engage in lesson reflection and use of formative assessment data to monitor student progress and to plan re-teaching and intervention to ensure mastery of ELA & Math Essential Standards.
- Grade-level teams will plan and implement Tier 2 interventions for students not mastering essential ELA & Math Standards.
- All K-3 students will participate in daily small group reading instruction. Students in grades 4-6 reading at or above grade level will meet with the teacher two times a week, at minimum. Students in grades 4-6 reading below grade level will meet with teacher for small group reading daily. Progress will be monitored using Literably.
- Teachers will utilize strategies and resources, including those from the ELA toolkit, to ensure centers and/or independent work during small-group reading rotations is rigorous, standards-aligned, and appropriately differentiated to ensure meaningful engagement.
- iReady and District benchmark data will be analyzed by staff to determine placement in intervention program(s) (Lexia, Flex, etc.) with initial placement made in August of the new school year and reviewed after each program benchmark assessment.
- Site will consider funding on-site substitute teachers four days a week to work with student groups to increase Reading Comprehension, Fluency, and Math based on funding.
- Teachers will be given release time every 6-8 weeks to analyze data and plan quality instruction and interventions for What I Need (WIN) Time based on students' needs.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

|           |           |
|-----------|-----------|
| Amount(s) | Source(s) |
|-----------|-----------|

**Annual Review**

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The majority of actions and services were implemented as planned. Major differences in the delivery of instruction resulted from school closures due to COVID-19. Lessons were provided via Zoom rather than in person. Teachers worked with their PLCs to refine strategies for increasing student engagement in learning. Due to the shortened school day caused by school closures, teachers focused on essential standards in their lessons. Greater focus was placed on strategies for teaching on Zoom and less focus was specifically placed on Math instruction as identified in strategy 3. Common assessments were used, but it was difficult for teachers to determine how much help students received from adults in the home which made analysis of the data more challenging. Teachers worked to inform parents of the importance of having children do assessments independently, but it was still difficult to determine the level of help some students received and to provide appropriate intervention for all students.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The main differences in the application of the strategies listed in this Goal were related to school closures due to COVID-19. Our focus turned more to learning how to be online teachers and how to find creative and engaging ways to teach students in an online setting. Our focus remained on essential standards, but a great deal of time was spent discovering ways to increase student engagement in learning sessions. Attendance and social emotional health and well being became bigger areas of focus than had originally been intended.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget allotments may change the number of days or the number of substitute teachers that we can afford to support our interventions. Interventions in reading and math will continue to be provided the length of time and number of staff available to support those interventions will vary based on funding.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Closing the Achievement Gap

## LEA/LCAP Goal

LCAP Goal #1 - Achieve

We will increase achievement for all of our students while decreasing performance gaps and educational inequities.

## Goal 2

As a school committed to high levels of learning and achievement for all students, we will remain focused on the underperforming student groups and put systems and supports in place to ensure their success.

## Identified Need

Our achievement data reveals discrepancies amongst different student groups. We are committed to closing that achievement gap.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator   | Baseline/Actual Outcome  | Expected Outcome  |
|--|--|---|
| English Learner Progress <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We will increase the percentage of students making progress toward English proficiency, or we will maintain the percentage of students at the highest level of English Proficiency (Level 4) as measured by the ELPAC and the 6 levels of the English Learner Progress Indicator.</li></ul> | 85% of English Learners made progress toward English proficiency or maintained status at the highest level of English Proficiency (Level 4).                               | 88% of English Learners will make progress toward English proficiency or will maintain status at the highest level of English Proficiency. (Level 4).                         |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We will increase the percentage of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students who meet</li></ul>   | (17-18) 65% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in ELA. 50% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in | 68% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in grades 3-6 will meet/exceed standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.<br><br>53% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in |

| Metric/Indicator   | Baseline/Actual Outcome   | Expected Outcome  |
|--|---|---|
| <p>standard as measured by SBAC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We will increase the percentage of students performing at or above grade level on i-Ready in ELA.</li> <li>We will increase the percentage of students performing at or above grade level on i-Ready in Mathematics</li> </ul> | <p>grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(18-19) 51% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.</p> <p>(18-19) 49% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(19-20) SBAC data is not available due to the suspension of state testing.</p> <p>(20-21) 27% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students performed at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in ELA.</p> <p>(20-21) 20% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students performed at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in Mathematics.</p> | <p>grades 3-6 will meet/exceed standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(19-20) SBAC data is not available due to the suspension of state testing.</p> <p>(21-22) 51% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students will perform at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in ELA.</p> <p>(21-22) 35% of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students will perform at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in Mathematics.</p> |
| <p>Students with Disabilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We will increase the percentage of Students with Disabilities who meet standard as measured by SBAC.</li> <li>We will increase the percentage of students performing at or above grade level on i-Ready in ELA.</li> </ul>        | <p>(17-18) 30% of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.</p> <p>(17-18) 22% of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(18-19) 30% of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-6</p>  | <p>33% of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-6 will meet/exceed standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.</p> <p>26% of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-6 will meet/exceed standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p>   |

| Metric/Indicator  | Baseline/Actual Outcome   | Expected Outcome  |
|---|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We will increase the percentage of students performing at or above grade level on i-Ready in Mathematics.</li> </ul>   | <p>met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in ELA.</p> <p>(18-19) 23% of Students with Disabilities in grades 3-6 met/exceeded standard as measured by SBAC in Mathematics.</p> <p>(19-20) SBAC data is not available due to the suspension of state testing.</p> <p>(20-21) 13% of Students with Disabilities performed at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in ELA.</p> <p>(20-21) 10% of Students with Disabilities performed at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in Mathematics.</p> | <p>(19-20) SBAC data is not available due to the suspension of state testing.</p> <p>(21-22) 30% of Students with Disabilities will perform at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in ELA.</p> <p>(21-22) 25% of Students with Disabilities will perform at or above grade level as measured by i-Ready in Mathematics.</p> |
| <p>English Learner Progress</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We will increase the percentage of English Learners who are performing at the Emerging and Expanding levels on ELD Benchmarks</li> </ul> | <p>(20-21) ELPAC Speaking data indicates that 80% of students scored in the Emerging and Expanding levels for Speaking.</p>   | <p>83% of students will reach the Emerging and Expanding levels on the ELPAC as measured by ELD Benchmarks</p>  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

English Learners

### Strategy/Activity

We will ensure strong Integrated and Designated English Language Development (ELD) for all English Learners (ELs).

- Students will be clustered by EL Proficiency level for designated ELD instruction in August of the new school year.

- English Learners will receive a minimum of 30 minutes of effective designated ELD daily, including increasing student talk during designated ELD and using routines, frames, and sentence starters to promote fluency and academic discourse.
- Staff meetings and/or site learning days will be used to support consistent and effective implementation of integrated and designated ELD.
- Select teachers will work with District EL Resource Teacher to deepen understanding of ELD standards, proficiency level descriptors, and alignment to ELA standards.
- Teachers will implement integrated ELD through scaffolding strategies for content area, subject matter access, and discipline specific language development.
- Teachers will increase use of structured routines and frames to promote collaborative conversations and academic talk across the curriculum.
- We will monitor English Learner progress and provide timely and systematic intervention.
- English Learners ELPAC levels 1 and 2 will receive 30 minutes of Imagine Learning daily to increase English vocabulary and proficiency (Elementary Schools only).
- CATCH up plans will be used to monitor English Learner progress. Students not making adequate progress will be placed in English acquisition intervention program by August of the new school year.
- Teachers and Principal will work with District English Learner Resource Teacher to identify and support Long Term and At Risk Long Term English Learners and schedule them into a reading intervention program by September.
- District will fund a full-time Instruction and Data Support Teacher. This teacher will support teachers in analyzing data and developing appropriate interventions.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 2**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Students with Disabilities

#### **Strategy/Activity**

We will continue to develop a comprehensive service model to meet the needs of our students with disabilities through Specialized Academic Instruction (SAI).

- SAI teachers will ensure that students receive appropriate services and utilize instructional materials targeted to meet individual student needs.
- SAI and General Education teachers will meet regularly to articulate, plan instruction, and review student progress.
- iReady and District benchmark data will be analyzed by Principal, SAI Teacher, and Grade Level Teams to determine placement in intensive intervention program (WonderWorks, Flex, Read Well, and Moby Max).
- Data will be analyzed to determine placement in intervention (less than two years below grade level) (e.g. Lexia, Read Well, and WIN Time Groups).
- Students reading below grade level will receive daily-targeted reading instruction in small groups to address their assessed needs (fluency and comprehension).



- District will fund a full-time Instruction and Data Support Teacher. This teacher will support teachers in analyzing data and developing appropriate interventions.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

## **Annual Review**

### **SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## **ANALYSIS**

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

- The majority of actions and services were implemented as planned. ELD instruction differed from our original plan and the differences are detailed in the next section of this document. SAI teachers were able to provide all services according to student IEPs. They worked alongside General Education teachers to plan instruction, implement IEPs and to support students with disabilities. Student work was reviewed in teams and student progress was monitored on a regular basis to plan for interventions and additional supports.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Due to school closures related to COVID-19 ELD instruction was provided via a combination of Imagine Learning and Zoom lessons with teachers for ELD. Students at levels 1 and 2 were provided with 3 days of Zoom ELD lessons along with 2 days of Imagine Learning each week. Students at levels 3 and 4 were provided with 2 days of Zoom ELD lessons and 3 days of Imagine Learning. ELD instruction was based on the content in Imagine Learning. Teachers found areas of need based on their progress in Imagine Learning and planned lessons around students' specific needs. Due to school closures related to COVID-19 and lack of SBAC and ELPAC data on English Learners, Catch Up Plans were completed in December of 2020. Students were placed in online reading intervention programs and worked in small groups with their teachers via Zoom to increase their performance in Reading. Evidence of ELD is illustrated in teacher weekly plans and asynchronous assignments issued to English Learners.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Continue to monitor English Language Development (ELD) as well as student progress and log in frequency with Imagine Learning. Teachers will track student progress toward mastery of essential standards and plan intervention for students as needed.



# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Student Wellness

## LEA/LCAP Goal

LCAP Goal #2 Engage

We will engage our students and the learning community in order to provide the skills and supports necessary for social, emotional, and physical well-being.

## Goal 3

We are committed to establishing a climate of respect, connectedness, and safety for our students.

## Identified Need

This goal is a reflection of our strong belief in developing the "whole" child in addition to our focus on academic excellence. Our goal is re-engage students and families in on campus learning. Our goal is to maintain our high school attendance rate and lower our chronic absenteeism rate.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator  | Baseline/Actual Outcome   | Expected Outcome   |
|---|---|--|
| Student survey results will demonstrate an increase in the percent of students who respond favorably to each of five social-emotional learning domains, based upon the data gathered from the CORE SEL Survey of 4th, 6th, and 8th graders. These domains complement academic preparation and long-term learning. | As measured by the CORE SEL Survey, the percent of students who responded favorably to the following domains are:<br><br>Culture/Climate - 87 %<br>Growth Mindset - 89%<br>Self-Management - 84%<br>Self-Efficacy - 77%<br>Social Awareness - 79% | As measured by the CORE SEL Survey, the percent of students who will respond favorably to the following domains will be:<br><br>Culture/Climate - Baseline % + at least 1%<br>Growth Mindset - Baseline % + at least 1%<br>Self-Management - Baseline % + at least 1%<br>Self-Efficacy - Baseline % + at least 1%<br>Social Awareness - Baseline % + at least 1% |
| School attendance rates will maintain a 97% or better attendance rate in the coming year.   | (17-18) The school attendance rate is 96.23% for the previous school year.<br><br>(18-19) The school attendance rate was 96.3%.   | The school attendance rate will maintain or increase in the coming year.   |

| Metric/Indicator   | Baseline/Actual Outcome  | Expected Outcome  |
|--|--|---|
|  | (19-20) The school attendance rate was 97%.  |   |
| The percentage of students with chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1% each year.   | <p>(17-18) The percentage of students with chronic absenteeism was 5.78% for the previous school year.</p> <p>(18-19) The percentage of students with chronic absenteeism was 5.3%.</p> <p>(19-20) California Department of Education determined that due to COVID-19, the Chronic Absenteeism rates for 2019-20 were not reliable and comparable. Therefore, this data is not reported for the 2019-20 school year at the state level.</p> <p>District data shows that the chronic absentee rate at our site was 5.86%. This data is not reflective of the entire school year due to school closures in the Spring of 2020.</p> | The percentage of students with chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1% to a rate of 4.86% during the coming school year. |
| Increase the amount of time students are present in class for instruction as measured by a decrease in the number of students out of class and/or suspended due to discipline. | <p>(17-18) 1.58% of students were suspended during the previous school year.</p> <p>(18-19) 1.8% of students were suspended.</p> <p>(19-20) .72% of students were suspended. This data is not reflective of the entire school year due to school closures in the Spring of 2020.</p>   | Student suspensions will decrease during the coming school year.  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

**Strategy/Activity****Character Education**

- Continue implementing Character Education programs such as Peacebuilders and anti-bullying strategies.
- Continue Monthly Character Trait focus.
- Continue weekly Peacebuilder and Soaring Falcon recognition circles.
- Continue monthly Peacebuilder awards including different special guests to present students with awards.
- Continue to encourage class and individual attendance awards
- Social Worker provided by the District for a minimum of one day per week. Staff considering the cost and benefits of site paying for an additional day of a Social Worker.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

**Strategy/Activity 2****Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

**Strategy/Activity****Consistent Attendance**

- Engage students and parents with a warm and welcoming school environment that emphasizes building relationships with families and students and stresses the importance of everyday attendance (see Restorative Practices).
- Monitor attendance and respond in a tiered approach to intervention (i.e., Students missing 5-9%, students missing 10-19%, and students missing 20% or more).
- Provide personalized early, caring, and constructive outreach to families already missing school. Look for and identify barriers to attendance.
- Work with Student Supports/Probation Officer (truancy intervention and prevention) to identify and address patterns of excessive absences. Work with families to address situations that are leading to absences offering resources when and if appropriate.
- Put system in place to contact and work with parents of chronically absent students, providing incentives for improvement.
- Conduct parent meetings (SST format) for foster students within 30 days of enrollment to collect information, identify student needs, determine educational rights, and ensure access to interventions in a timely manner.
- Social Worker provided by the District for a minimum of one day per week. Staff considering the cost and benefits of site paying for an additional day of a Social Worker.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### Strategy/Activity 3

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

#### Strategy/Activity

##### Restorative Practices

- Establish positive relationships between staff and students and among students with strategies such as Circles, 10 & 2, etc.
- Work with staff to establish a climate with an understanding of trauma informed responses and a focus on positive behavior interventions and supports where consequences for behaviors are logical and natural and where there is accountability and opportunity to make amends and repair harm.
- Work with Student Supports to train Campus Attendants to use Restorative principles when intervening with students.
- Social Worker provided by the District for a minimum of one day per week. Staff considering the cost and benefits of site paying for an additional day of a Social Worker.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### Strategy/Activity 4

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

#### Strategy/Activity

##### Behavior

- Investigate and implement alternatives to students being sent out of class for negative behavior.
- School staff will partner with District Support Providers to gain skills and strategies focused on Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies (PBIS) that will help address chronic and/or extreme negative behaviors.
- Participate in Professional Development in Restorative Practices and Trauma-Informed Care work to gain strategies to proactively address student behaviors and increase student time in class.
- Social Worker provided by the District for a minimum of one day per week. Staff considering the cost and benefits of site paying for an additional day of a Social Worker.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

All actions and services were implemented or exceeded the goals of implementation this year. Due to school closures related to COVID-19 there was a greater focus on students' social-emotional well being and engagement in school. Teachers explored new ways to engage students and motivate them to attend all Zoom sessions. Weekly video messages went out to students via teachers. Messages focused students and teachers on a character trait or coping strategy as our focus for the week. Rather than a monthly focus, we moved to a weekly focus that was related to things teachers were observing in class or things we were hearing from parents as areas of need/concern. Weekly messages included a powerpoint presentation teachers could use with students to highlight the area of focus for the week. Two different presentations were sent out weekly based on grade level (K-2 and 3-6). Teachers could use these presentations as a launching pad for discussions and reminders throughout the week. Teachers submitted praise notes each week that recognized students for academic accomplishments as well as their efforts toward implementing the weekly focus area/theme. Weekly engagement logs and daily attendance reports informed Principal and Social Worker of students who were missing class or not completing work. Principal worked with ESS staff to provide additional support to students who were on campus and needed support to get logged into Zoom sessions or to finish work throughout the week. A formal schedule was developed that assigned paraprofessionals to specific students to encourage and help focus them on their assignments. Principal and School Psychologist worked with parents and teachers to plan home visits for students who were struggling to engage appropriately on Zoom and stay engaged. School Social Worker and School Psychologist implemented small groups to focus on coping strategies and identifying feelings across the entire school year. Teachers provided a social-emotional lesson at the start of every school day in an effort to connect students to the theme of the week and to help create connections between students and their teacher and to connect students with one another.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

- The major differences in the intended implementation and the actual implementation as described above included a greater focus on student attendance and engagement in their education through

a variety of approaches. At no direct cost to the site, a greater focus and effort was placed on students' emotional well-being and connection to learning and school.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

All of the strategies implemented in 2020-21 to address chronic absenteeism will be continued in the 2021-22 school year. We will continue to utilize the support of a school Social Worker which will be provided by the District for a minimum of one day per week.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Parent/Community Engagement

## LEA/LCAP Goal

LCAP Goal #2 Engage

We will engage our students and the learning community in order to provide the skills and supports necessary for social, emotional, and physical well-being.

## Goal 4

Establish meaningful partnerships with our parents to promote student success.

## Identified Need

Engagement of our parents and establishing/maintaining meaningful partnerships with them are essential to our students' success. It takes the commitment of both school and home to foster a positive, happy and collaborative school environment.

Our school annually distributes a parent survey to determine parent perspectives and to obtain valuable input to guide our funding priorities for the coming year. The 2020-21 survey was distributed in May 2021. 403 of parents responded to the survey, which was delivered via email and text message. The results showing the percentage of parents who agree with each of the following statements are listed below:

This school provides high-quality instruction to my child. 96%

This school has high expectations for all students. 93%

- Overall Support for Academic Learning 95%

I feel welcome to participate at this school. 90%

School staff treats me with respect. 98%

School staff takes my concerns seriously. 96%

School staff welcomes my suggestions. 82%

School staff responds to my needs in a timely manner. 96%

School staff is helpful. 97%

My child's background (race, primary language, religion, unique needs, economic status) is respected at this school. 88%

My child feels welcome at school. 96%

- Overall Sense of Community 93%

This school clearly informs students what would happen if they break school rules. 91%

At this school, discipline is fair. 82%

My child is safe on school grounds. 96%

- Overall Sense of Safety 90%

A review of data indicates that our efforts in these areas have been successful families felt strongly that the school has a strong emphasis on student learning and that school staff treat parents with



respect and take their concerns seriously. Families also reported that their child feels safe at school and that staff is helpful and responds to parent needs in a timely manner. While we celebrate this growth, we will continue to put great emphasis on building and maintaining these partnerships.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator  | Baseline/Actual Outcome   | Expected Outcome   |
|---|---|--|
| Percentage of parents who agree that the school clearly articulates rules for students and that discipline is fair as measured by the annual Parent/Family Survey | (20-21) percentage of parents who agree that the school clearly articulates rules is 91%. | The percentage of parents who agree that the school clearly articulates rules will increase to 94% . |
| Percentage of parents who agree that school discipline as measured by the annual Parent/Family Survey   | (20-21) percentage of parents who agree that school discipline is fair is 82%.            | The percentage of parents who agree that discipline is fair will increase to 85% .                   |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

#### Strategy/Activity

- To insure our parents are partners, parent education and training will be offered.
- Hold a variety of parent events establishing relationships with school staff.
  - Work with District staff to provide training for ELAC and SSC.
  - Work with District staff to determine and implement best practices in parent education.
  - Place parent education on agendas for SSC, PTA, and ELAC meeting dates in early Fall of the new school year.
  - Work with ELAC and SSC to create a needs assessment and survey parents to elicit input on parent education topics, time, place, and method of presentation.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 2

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

### Strategy/Activity

We will communicate with parents regularly about student progress and school activities.

- Utilize parent information systems such as Blackboard Connect, weekly news blasts, and Friday Folders to enhance home/school communication.
- Provide translation and interpreters at parent workshops as needed. Provide translation of written materials when indicated.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 3

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

### Strategy/Activity

Community engagement

- Establish, maintain, and utilize website updates, Twitter feed, and other social media platforms to foster communication with parents and families specifically, and the community at large.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

# Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Due to school closures due to COVID-19 parent engagement and communication was critical. Parents were engaged in all steps along the way as we made plans to reopen schools and adjusted to changing guidelines from the CA Department of Public Health. Parents received more communication this year than in prior years as changes were frequent and information was critical. Parents were provided with video screencasts of information which were followed by multiple Zoom Question and Answer sessions with the principal. Weekly parent information letters were sent out via the PTA Blast as well as via Blackboard and posted on our website. Additionally, posts with reminders were made to our social media accounts. Parents were reminded and encouraged to update their contact information frequently. Personal individualized phone calls were made to families when they did not respond to important surveys or attendance and engagement concerns. Parent education was provided via Zoom at various times throughout the week and at different times throughout the day in an effort to enable the greatest number of parents possible to view sessions. Some parent education sessions were recorded and posted to our website to allow parents to view the information at a time that was convenient for each family.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

- Major differences in the goal due to school closures and limits on gatherings resulted in parent education sessions being held via Zoom or sent home via screencast for parents to view.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

During the 2021-2022 school year, we will continue to utilize screencasts and we will consider video recordings of in-person sessions and posting them to our school website for parents to watch at their convenience. Handouts and presentation notes will be available for print to parents viewing the presentations online. Recommendations submitted by parents for parent education topics will be utilized during the 2021-2022 school year. We will host events on a variety of days and times and will continue to offer food and childcare as options for in person parent education events.

# School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members
- 0 Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Role                       |
|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Eileen Cotter   | Principal                  |
| Sandee Rossman  | Classroom Teacher          |
| Cynthia Short   | Parent or Community Member |
| Elizabeth Ranta | Parent or Community Member |
| Erin Svalstad   | Parent or Community Member |
| Angela Abkin    | Parent or Community Member |
| Dottie Welch    | Classroom Teacher          |
| Greg Beach      | Classroom Teacher          |
| Alise Ruiz      | Other School Staff         |
| Erika Gomez     | Parent or Community Member |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

# Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

**Signature**

**Committee or Advisory Group Name**



English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on May 27, 2021.

Attested:



Principal, Eileen Cotter on 5/27/21



SSC Chairperson, Greg Beach on 5/27/21