

# Lemon Avenue Elementary School

## 2020-21 School Accountability Report Card



### General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

#### SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at [www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/)

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at [www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/)

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at [dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/](http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) [www.caschooldashboard.org/](http://www.caschooldashboard.org/) reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## 2021-22 School Contact Information

<b>School Name</b>	Lemon Avenue Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	8787 Lemon Avenue
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	La Mesa, CA 91941
<b>Phone Number</b>	(619) 668-5835
<b>Principal</b>	Allison Long
<b>Email Address</b>	allison.long@lmsvschools.org
<b>School Website</b>	<a href="https://www.lmsvschools.org/lemonavenue/">https://www.lmsvschools.org/lemonavenue/</a>
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	37681976038517

## 2021-22 District Contact Information

<b>District Name</b>	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(619) 668-5700
<b>Superintendent</b>	David Feliciano
<b>Email Address</b>	info@lmsvsd.net
<b>District Website Address</b>	www.lmsvschools.org

## 2021-22 School Overview

Lemon Avenue Elementary is near the heart of downtown La Mesa. Our school, in partnership with students, families, staff and the community, creates an environment that values diversity and inspires a passion for lifelong learning and healthy living. Our dedicated and skilled teachers support the academic and socio-emotional success of all students, and our entire staff works diligently to create a positive, welcoming and safe school environment. Lemon Avenue's primary academic focus is supporting all students to meet benchmarks in reading, writing, and mathematics as well as integrating technology. Learning activities are also integrated in history/social science, physical education, and the arts. The staff provides a balanced and challenging academic program to help all students acquire the skills they need for a successful future. In addition, we are fortunate to have an active parent community who are deeply connected and committed to student success.

Our goals as a school focus on academic excellence, closing the achievement for target groups of students, student wellness, and parent and community engagement. As a school committed to high levels of learning and achievement, we remain focused on continuous improvement. Data analysis of district and state assessments have identified areas of need in English Language Arts and Math. Weekly grade level Professional Learning Community collaborations allow teacher teams to analyze data and plan next steps for instruction based on student performance. An additional focus is placed on our Special Education, English Learners, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in order to put systems of support in place to ensure their success. This is a recursive process, and conversations around instruction and student data also take place in monthly staff meetings.

Student wellness is another area of focus at Lemon Avenue, as we are committed to establishing a climate of respect, connectedness, and safety for our students. Implementation of the Sanford Harmony socio-emotional learning program is utilized in all classrooms to provide daily lessons on self esteem, respect, and inclusiveness. The addition of a Social Worker to our staff has provided the opportunity to provide individual and small group support for students, as well as student support in engagement, attendance, and socio-emotional needs. Additionally, establishing clear and consistent expectations of behavior throughout campus, while using positive reinforcement to encourage these behaviors, have resulted in an increase of 97% of students reporting they feel welcome and respected at school on our annual survey.

## About this School

### 2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	96
Grade 1	84
Grade 2	85
Grade 3	77
Grade 4	70
Grade 5	68
Grade 6	55
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>535</b>

### 2020-21 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	46
Male	54
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6
Asian	2.2
Black or African American	3.7
Filipino	1.3
Hispanic or Latino	33.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
Two or More Races	12.1
White	45.8
English Learners	6.9
Foster Youth	0.6
Homeless	1.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39.8
Students with Disabilities	10.3

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>

### 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	22.0	100.0	449.9	90.9	228366.1	83.1
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.7	4205.9	1.5
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	0.0	0.0	5.6	1.1	11216.7	4.1
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.0	0.0	8.5	1.7	12115.8	4.4
<b>Unknown</b>	0.0	0.0	27.1	5.5	18854.3	6.9
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	22.0	100.0	494.8	100.0	274759.1	100.0

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

### 2020-21 Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21
<b>Permits and Waivers</b>	0.0
<b>Misassignments</b>	0.0
<b>Vacant Positions</b>	0.0
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments</b>	0.0

## 2020-21 Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.0
Local Assignment Options	0.0
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.0

## 2020-21 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.0
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach</b> (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.0

## 2021-22 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Year and month in which the data were collected		October 2021	
Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	TK, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Journeys - Year Adopted 2009 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync - Year Adopted 2015  Supplemental/Intervention Instructional Materials: Cambrium Learning, Read Well McGraw-Hill, Flex Literacy McGraw-Hill, Wonder Works  English Language Development TK, Hampton Brown, Avenues - Year Adopted 2011 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2009	Yes	0
<b>Mathematics</b>	TK – 5th, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Math Expressions - Year Adopted 2015 6th – 8th, College Preparatory Math - Year Adopted 2019  Supplemental Instructional Materials: Moby Max	Yes	0
<b>Science</b>	TK-5th, Pearson Scott-Foresman, California Science - Year Adopted 2007	Yes	0

	6th - 8th, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Holt California Science - Year Adopted 2007  Supplemental Instructional Materials: K-6th, Studies Weekly, Science Weekly		
<b>History-Social Science</b>	K - 6th, Studies Weekly, Studies Weekly - Year Adopted 2020 7th – 8th, National Geographic, World History & US History - Year Adopted 2019	Yes	0
<b>Foreign Language</b>	6th – 8th, Prentice Hall, Realidades - Year Adopted 2004	Yes	0

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

This school is inspected continuously throughout the year by the Maintenance and Operations Department staff. Work orders are generated and repairs and/or maintenance is performed as required.

<b>Year and month of the most recent FIT report</b>	June 2021
---	-----------

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical</b>	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

## Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

#### SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

#### Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

## 2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in ELA by Student Group (iReady)

This table displays Local Assessment test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

Subject	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent At or Above Grade Level
<b>All Students</b>	506	502	99%	1%	48%
<b>Female</b>	232	230	99%	1%	48%
<b>Male</b>	274	272	99%	1%	47%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	10	10	100%	0%	30%
<b>Asian</b>	13	13	100%	0%	54%
<b>Black or African American</b>	26	26	100%	0%	31%
<b>Filipino</b>	6	5	83%	17%	50%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	174	172	99%	1%	41%
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	2	2	100%	0%	0%
<b>Two or More Races</b>	89	87	98%	2%	44%
<b>White</b>	327	326	100%	0%	50%
<b>English Learners</b>	40	39	98%	3%	18%
<b>Foster Youth</b>	4	4	100%	0%	0%
<b>Homeless</b>	8	8	100%	0%	0%
<b>Military</b>	32	32	100%	0%	31%
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	202	198	98%	2%	32%
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0%	0%	0%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	51	50	98%	2%	16%

\*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.



## 2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in Math by Student Group (iReady)

This table displays Local Assessment test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

Subject	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent At or Above Grade Level
<b>All Students</b>	506	503	99%	1%	34%
<b>Female</b>	232	231	100%	0%	28%
<b>Male</b>	274	272	99%	1%	39%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	10	10	100%	0%	10%
<b>Asian</b>	13	13	100%	0%	31%
<b>Black or African American</b>	26	26	100%	0%	19%
<b>Filipino</b>	6	5	83%	17%	50%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	174	172	99%	1%	25%
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	2	2	100%	0%	0%
<b>Two or More Races</b>	89	88	99%	1%	39%
<b>White</b>	327	326	100%	0%	36%
<b>English Learners</b>	40	40	100%	0%	3%
<b>Foster Youth</b>	4	4	100%	0%	0%
<b>Homeless</b>	8	8	100%	0%	0%
<b>Military</b>	32	32	100%	0%	34%
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	202	199	99%	1%	20%
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0%	0%	0%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	51	50	98%	2%	12%

\*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

### 2021-22 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parent and community engagement continues to be an area of importance at our site. Regular communication between parents, teachers, and administration is an expectation, as this partnership is the key to our students' success. Parents have multiple opportunities to be a part of the school decision making process through School Site Council and ELAC committees. A continued focus effort in this area resulted in high percentages of parent satisfaction on our annual survey, including questions regarding feeling welcome to participate, being treated with respect, and level of communication between home and school.

For more information on parent involvement opportunities, please contact our PTA President, Beth Shiff, at [bagleybeth@hotmail.com](mailto:bagleybeth@hotmail.com).

## 2021-22 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

## 2020-21 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	570	551	40	7.3
Female	261	253	16	6.3
Male	309	298	24	8.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	3	0	0.0
Asian	13	12	0	0.0
Black or African American	26	22	2	9.1
Filipino	7	7	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	196	191	21	11.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	2	2	100.0
Two or More Races	71	69	6	8.7
White	252	245	9	3.7
English Learners	45	44	8	18.2
Foster Youth	6	4	0	0.0
Homeless	9	9	1	11.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	241	236	33	14.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	60	59	6	10.2

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2018-19	School 2020-21	District 2018-19	District 2020-21	State 2018-19	State 2020-21
Suspensions	1.86	0.18	4.64	0.52	3.47	0.20
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-2020 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-2020 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-2020 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.45	2.27	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

## 2020-21 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.18	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	0.32	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.51	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.41	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.00	0.00

## 2021-22 School Safety Plan

Current site Comprehensive School Safety Plans (CSSP) are received by the district each year and are approved by the La Mesa-Spring Valley School Board of Education. Each site's plan outlines systems, programs, and practices in place to ensure a safe school environment including, but not limited to, child abuse reporting, disaster preparedness, campus access, and drug and alcohol education and cessation. Each site has one teacher appointed as the school's Health and Safety Officer. This person and the site principal presented their School Safety Plans to their respective School Site Council for review and acceptance prior to submission to the Board of Education.

### D. Other SARC Information

### Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## 2018-19 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2018-19 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
TK	28	0	1	0
TK/K	0	0	0	0
K	28	0	4	0
K/1	0	0	0	0
1	27	0	3	0
1/2	0	0	0	0
2	26	0	3	0
2/3	0	0	0	0
3	28	0	3	0
3/4	27	0	1	0
4	30	0	3	0
4/5	30	0	1	0
5	35	0	0	2
5/6	34	0	0	1
6	33	0	1	2
Other	0	0	0	0

## 2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
TK	26	0	1	0
TK/K	0	0	0	0
K	28	0	4	0
K/1	0	0	0	0
1	27	0	3	0
1/2	0	0	0	0
2	26	0	3	0
2/3	0	0	0	0
3	25	0	3	0
3/4	0	0	0	0
4	22	1	3	0
4/5	0	0	0	0
5	34	0	0	1
5/6	0	0	0	0
6	30	0	3	0
Other	0	0	0	0

## 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
TK	18	1	0	0
TK/K	0	0	0	0
K	25	0	3	0
K/1	0	0	0	0
1	27	0	3	0
1/2	0	0	0	0
2	28	0	3	0
2/3	0	0	0	0
3	18	1	3	0
3/4	0	0	0	0
4	25	0	2	0
4/5	30	0	1	0
5	26	0	2	0
5/6	0	0	0	0
6	28	0	2	0
Other	0	0	0	0

## 2020-21 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

## 2020-21 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library and Learning Resources Technician	.54
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	.5
Social Worker	.4
Nurse	.20
Health Technician	.78
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.8
Site Teacher on Special Assignment (Intervention, Site Subs, etc.)	0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0

## 2019-20 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2019-20 expenditures per pupil and average teach salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,817.79	\$0.0	\$5,817.79	\$94,155.95
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,694.41	\$90,729.54
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-14.0	5.6
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-36.8	9.2

## 2020-21 Types of Services Funded

Special Education  
 Title II Part A - Improving Teacher Quality  
 Title III Part A - Limited English  
 Lottery - Instructional Materials

## 2019-20 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2019-20 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$58,081	\$52,060
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$74,706	\$84,043
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$108,136	\$107,043
<b>Average Principal Salary (Elementary)</b>	\$138,462	\$133,582
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$151,011	\$138,803
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>	\$0	\$133,845
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$223,665	\$240,628
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	35%	35%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	5%	5%

## Professional Development

Professional learning in La Mesa-Spring Valley Schools (LMSVS) continues evolving to cultivate capacity and efficacy of teachers so they can ensure high levels of learning for each and every student. In recent years, professional learning has emphasized developing a common language around high quality teaching and learning and building collaborative cultures that promote high levels of learning for all students through professional learning communities. This work has included a deeper dive into content standards through the selection of essential standards and discussions around mastery and effective assessment, social-emotional learning and having an equity lens in all our work. In response to student performance data and classroom observation, current professional learning focuses on aligning instruction to standards and student experience, with extra time and resources dedicated to mathematics, science, and the needs of English learners.

Our Blended Professional Development program for 2021-22 offers online professional development options while still offering face-to-face professional development. Regardless of the learning format, our LMSVS educators are eager to engage in professional development, and the district is committed to supporting them with many tools, strategies, and training.

Central to our training in 2021-22 is the book *Opening Doors* by Dr. Trudy Arriaga and Randall Lindsey. Dr. Arriaga, a former superintendent, author and speaker, launched our work on the topics of equity and cultural proficiency, what she refers to as simply "Opening Doors for All Children". Dr. Arriaga is working with LMSV and other school districts throughout the United States to support the building of culturally proficient organizations. Her leadership has focused on the core values that ensure equity, access, and opportunity for every child and their family. District employees attended her keynote address at the start of the year. Dr. Arriaga will continue to present to our staff during the 2021-22 school year, and her book will be used throughout the year to anchor our practice and approach to opening doors for all children through our work on equity and cultural proficiency.

With professional learning communities as a foundation, professional development is approached from multiple angles to ensure that it is relevant, timely, and targeted to both student and teacher needs and to capitalize on teacher expertise. Teachers meet weekly, as a grade level or discipline, to analyze student data and discuss best practices to address the needs of each and every student.

In order to customize professional learning for certificated staff this year, 16 Lead Teachers were hired in specific areas (science, math, ELD, specialized academic instruction (SAI), PE, etc.) and offered a stipend to develop at least three sessions that will be offered through our Professional Blended Learning program. The Lead Teachers will contact their job alike cohort members across the district to gather information on specific training topics of interest, and either provide the training or coordinate with an outside expert to provide it.



## Professional Development

Additional individual professional development opportunities offered or planned by Teachers on Special Assignment, Lead Teachers, social workers, and/or administrators for the 2021-22 school year include the following courses:

- Build Math Minds
- Building Thinking Classrooms
- Integrated ELD through Language Function
- i-Ready: Using Data to Plan Instruction
- Math Fluency
- NGSS 101: Phenomenon Based Learning
- Pro-ACT
- Processing Grief and Loss with your Middle School Students
- Reading in the Upper Grades for Struggling Readers
- Responding to Behavior Escalation
- Trauma-Informed Care

As the school year progresses, we will continue to provide support in these and other areas to deepen our expertise in providing instruction to our students.

As we continue to support the planning and professional development of our educators, the LMSVS Staff website has developed to include pacing guides, essential standards, quarantine and Independent Study Agreement resources, quick links for tutorials with Google Classroom and other Learning Management Systems, online curriculum supports, and more. The site also includes tools and information for supporting English learners and Special Education students, and providing differentiation in the content areas.

LMSVS believes in continuous learning, and we will ensure high-quality professional learning to all of our staff in an on-going manner throughout the entire 2021-2022 school year.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>	3.5	5.5	3.5