

# Maryland Avenue Elementary School

## 2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)



### General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

#### SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## 2022-23 School Contact Information

<b>School Name</b>	Maryland Avenue Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	5400 Maryland Avenue
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	La Mesa, CA 91942
<b>Phone Number</b>	(619) 668-5744
<b>Principal</b>	Stephanie Starr
<b>Email Address</b>	stephanie.starr@lmsvschools.org
<b>School Website</b>	<a href="https://www.lmsvschools.org/marylandavenue/">https://www.lmsvschools.org/marylandavenue/</a>
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	37-68197-6038525

## 2022-23 District Contact Information

<b>District Name</b>	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(619) 668-5700
<b>Superintendent</b>	David Feliciano
<b>Email Address</b>	info@lmsvsd.net
<b>District Website Address</b>	www.lmsvschools.org

## 2022-23 School Overview

Maryland Avenue Elementary is a 2020 California Distinguished School awardee. This recognition is reflective of excellent teaching, learning, collaboration, and a highly successful school climate. Maryland Avenue is a wonderful learning community with a tradition of excellence where children are the most important people. Parents, families, staff, and community join in partnership and work in harmony to ensure that all children succeed. We partner in educating, nurturing, and supporting our students. We wholeheartedly believe that relationships precede learning; the connection between teachers/staff and students/families is the foundation of student well-being and student achievement. With this foundation, the Maryland Avenue School community works together to create a caring and supportive environment that challenges students to achieve academic success and become confident, productive citizens.

We are committed to providing a challenging and enriching education that assists students in developing the skills necessary to read well, think clearly, communicate effectively, compute accurately, value and appreciate diversity, and work to find creative solutions to complex challenges. 21 classroom teachers, together with Specialized Academic Instructors, Speech-Language Pathologists and a reading specialist, create successful learning experiences for each of the 500 children within our learning community of preschool through sixth grade. Our comprehensive academic program, designed to meet diverse instructional needs, is developed by teams of grade-level teachers to maximize student achievement and is aligned with District Performance and California State Standards. Professionals within our school work collaboratively to ensure individual student needs are met, students are supported with their learning, and experience success at school. Ongoing analysis of student performance data allows staff to look critically at programs and practices and adjust instruction to maximize student growth and mastery of standards.

Student support at Maryland Avenue includes differentiated instruction for PACE (Programs for Acceleration, Challenge, and Enrichment), EL (English Learners), and at-risk students. Oasis Reading Tutors, intervention groups, and enrichment activities ensure that the needs of every child are met. In addition, we provide WIN (What I Need) time to support students of all ability levels as well as reading intervention and English Language Development (ELD) interventions through our Intervention teacher. Ongoing teacher coaching and professional growth opportunities keep educators current in their knowledge and application of best practices. Through meetings of grade-level teams, staff, and School Site Council (SSC), recommendations and decisions are made to support students in their pursuit of academic excellence

## 2022-23 School Overview

The combined efforts of highly qualified school staff, actively involved parents, and a supportive community ensure children at Maryland Avenue experience success each and every day. Maryland Avenue School's PeaceBuilder program supports our students in becoming productive, caring citizens by working to build the self-confidence of students and increase their abilities to solve interpersonal problems peacefully. Our fee-based preschool program, Smart Steps, begins the child's educational experience and sets the stage for future academic success. A variety of after school enrichment classes provide additional opportunities for students to advance their skills and develop their interests. Through our comprehensive instructional programs and our commitment to every child learning every day, students are supported in their path to success

## About this School

### 2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	101
Grade 1	69
Grade 2	53
Grade 3	55
Grade 4	59
Grade 5	55
Grade 6	52
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>444</b>

## 2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	44.8
Male	55.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	2.5
Black or African American	7.4
Filipino	3.2
Hispanic or Latino	34.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1
Two or More Races	11.7
White	39.2
English Learners	8.3
Foster Youth	0.7
Homeless	0.5
Migrant	0.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50.9
Students with Disabilities	20.5

### A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

## 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	18.60	93.00	449.90	90.93	228366.10	83.12
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.40	2.00	3.50	0.71	4205.90	1.53
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	5.60	1.14	11216.70	4.08
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	8.50	1.73	12115.80	4.41
<b>Unknown</b>	1.00	5.00	27.10	5.49	18854.30	6.86
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	20.00	100.00	494.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>						
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>						
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>						
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>						
<b>Unknown</b>						
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>						

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	
Misassignments	0.00	
Vacant Positions	0.00	
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments</b>	<b>0.00</b>	

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	
Local Assignment Options	0.00	
<b>Total Out-of-Field Teachers</b>	<b>0.00</b>	

## 2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach</b> (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	4.70	

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

## 2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Year and month in which the data were collected		October 2022	
Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync - Year Adopted 2015  Supplemental/Intervention Instructional Materials: Cambrium Learning, Read Well McGraw-Hill, Flex Literacy McGraw-Hill, Wonder Works  English Language Development TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022	Yes	0

	K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2009		
<b>Mathematics</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 5th, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Math Expressions - Year Adopted 2015 6th – 8th, College Preparatory Math - Year Adopted 2019  Supplemental Instructional Materials: Moby Max	Yes	0
<b>Science</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Ways - Year Adopted, 2022 K-5th, Pearson Scott-Foresman, California Science - Year Adopted 2007 6th - 8th, Amplify Education Inc, Amplify - Year Adopted 2022  Supplemental Instructional Materials: K-6th, Studies Weekly, Science Weekly	Yes	0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K - 6th, Studies Weekly, Studies Weekly - Year Adopted 2020 7th – 8th, National Geographic, World History & US History - Year Adopted 2019	Yes	0
<b>Foreign Language</b>	6th – 8th, Prentice Hall, Realidades - Year Adopted 2004	Yes	0

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Principal, custodians, and maintenance department work collaboratively to continuously inspect the facilities on a regular basis. Work orders are generated when needed, and maintenance is performed as required. An annual FIT report is conducted each year to ensure that the facilities are safe and conducive to learning. Schools also receive annual visits from the fire marshall. Fire extinguishers are inspected annually as well.

**Year and month of the most recent FIT report** December 2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical</b>	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

## Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		



### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**  
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

LMSV administered the i-Ready diagnostic assessment to its students in lieu of the statewide summative assessment in 2021.

#### Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	49	N/A	48	N/A	47
<b>Mathematics</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	42	N/A	35	N/A	33

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	219	216	98.63	1.37	48.61
<b>Female</b>	90	89	98.89	1.11	61.80
<b>Male</b>	129	127	98.45	1.55	39.37
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	17	17	100.00	0.00	35.29
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	73	72	98.63	1.37	40.28
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	27	27	100.00	0.00	59.26
<b>White</b>	82	81	98.78	1.22	53.09
<b>English Learners</b>	21	19	90.48	9.52	21.05
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	115	115	100.00	0.00	37.39
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	53	53	100.00	0.00	11.32

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	220	219	99.55	0.45	41.55
<b>Female</b>	91	90	98.90	1.10	50.00
<b>Male</b>	129	129	100.00	0.00	35.66
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	17	17	100.00	0.00	23.53
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	73	73	100.00	0.00	35.62
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	28	28	100.00	0.00	46.43
<b>White</b>	82	81	98.78	1.22	48.15
<b>English Learners</b>	21	21	100.00	0.00	23.81
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	116	116	100.00	0.00	31.90
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	53	53	100.00	0.00	11.32

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
<b>Science</b> (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	32.65	NT	32.21	28.5	29.47

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	49	49	100	0	32.65
<b>Female</b>	21	21	100	0	38.1
<b>Male</b>	28	28	100	0	28.57
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	18	18	100	0	27.78
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	20	20	100	0	35
<b>English Learners</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	33	33	100	0	27.27
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	--	--	--	--	--

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

#### 2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

#### 2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Family and community engagement continues to be an area of importance at Maryland Avenue. Regular communication between parents, teachers, and administration is an expectation, as this partnership is the key to our students' success. In May 2022, we surveyed parents to determine how they felt about Maryland Avenue. 93% of parents report that they have a strong sense of belonging in our school community. 94% of parents report that they strongly agree that they are welcome to participate at school. 96% of families report that school staff treat them with respect. 96% of parents report that their child feels welcome at school and that school staff are helpful and supportive. It is our goal to continue building upon these strong partnerships in an effort to support the students of Maryland Avenue.

Parents have multiple opportunities to be a part of the school decision making process through School Site Council and ELAC committees. In addition to school decision making opportunities, Maryland Avenue will continue to provide families with educational opportunities that support family engagement in the school community and increase family capacity to support student academic, social-emotional, and behavioral development. At the end of last year, we conducted a needs survey to determine which family education events would most support our community; families reported a need for social-emotional education, strategies for behavior management, and overall strategies to support student achievement in school.

Families are able to learn more about Maryland Avenue's organized opportunities for family involvement by contacting our Office Manager, Nina White, at (619) 668-5744

## 2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	485	472	132	28.0
Female	212	205	53	25.9
Male	273	267	79	29.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.0
Asian	15	15	3	20.0
Black or African American	39	38	13	34.2
Filipino	14	14	3	21.4
Hispanic or Latino	167	163	56	34.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	5	5	4	80.0
Two or More Races	58	57	12	21.1
White	184	178	41	23.0
English Learners	47	46	18	39.1
Foster Youth	5	4	0	0.0
Homeless	7	7	3	42.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	263	258	94	36.4
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	4	4	1	25.0
Students with Disabilities	105	104	41	39.4

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	1.12	2.27	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	1.01	1.03	0.52	2.77	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.07

## 2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	1.03	0.00
Female	0.94	0.00
Male	1.10	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	2.56	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.60	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	1.72	0.00
White	1.09	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1.90	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.95	0.00



## 2022-23 School Safety Plan

In La Mesa-Spring Valley School district, each site creates a Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP). Site plans outline systems, programs, and practices in place to ensure a safe school environment including, but not limited to, child abuse reporting, disaster preparedness, campus access, and drug and alcohol education and emergency response. These plans are approved by the school site council (in December) and later by the La Mesa-Spring Valley School Board of Education (in February).

Each school site has one teacher appointed as the school's Safety Lead. This person attends monthly safety meetings and professional developments with the district's Chief Safety Officer and implements safety initiatives at their sites, in collaboration with site administration. The Safety Lead supports the creation of the site's CSSP and is a member of the larger safety team on each site. The safety team is responsible for periodically conducting hazard and vulnerability assessments of the campus, setting goals and action steps relative to the district Safety Standards, engaging in a Safety Standards Self Assessment, and creating school-wide safety plans and protocols that are detailed in the CSSP.

Lastly, La Mesa-Spring Valley continues to focus on the safety of staff and students in our district through facilities and infrastructure initiatives. Currently, the district is beginning the implementation of the CrisisGo Emergency Response Platform to strengthen communication and consistency in the event of an emergency. Increased measures for campus safety are also being implemented as part of a series of Facilities Master Plan improvements including but not limited to extensions of current fencing, securing locked gates, implementing single points of entry to campus, and initiating remote entry controlled by office staff at each school site in the district. Such added measures are added to the CSSP, where relevant.

## D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## 2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	26		2	
1	23		2	
2	27		3	
3	26		3	
4	27		2	
5	32		1	
6	30		1	
Other	18	3	2	

## 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	24	1	3	
1	29		2	
2	29		2	
3	25		3	
4	26		2	
5	34			1
6	31		1	
Other	18	2		

## 2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	23		4	
1	30		2	
2	25		2	
3	27		2	
4	29		2	
5	33			
6	33			
Other	16	3		1

## 2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

## 2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
<b>Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)</b>	
<b>Library Media Teacher (Librarian)</b>	
<b>Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)</b>	
<b>Psychologist</b>	0.8
<b>Social Worker</b>	
<b>Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist</b>	2.0
<b>Resource Specialist (non-teaching)</b>	
<b>Other</b>	3.5

## 2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
<b>School Site</b>	\$5,816.78	\$603.65	\$5,213.13	\$91,126.85
<b>District</b>	N/A	N/A	\$5,541.23	\$89,200
<b>Percent Difference - School Site and District</b>	N/A	N/A	-6.1	2.3
<b>State</b>	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$87,271
<b>Percent Difference - School Site and State</b>	N/A	N/A	-47.3	5.9

## 2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Special Education  
 Title II Part A - Improving Teacher Quality  
 Title III Part A - Limited English  
 Lottery - Instructional Materials

## 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$58,705	\$52,641
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$75,510	\$83,981
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$109,299	\$107,522
<b>Average Principal Salary (Elementary)</b>	\$139,926	\$136,247
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$151,011	\$142,248
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>		\$139,199
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$223,665	\$242,166
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	35%	34%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	5%	5%

## Professional Development

Professional learning in La Mesa-Spring Valley School District (LMSVSD) continues evolving to cultivate capacity and efficacy of teachers so they can ensure high levels of learning for each and every student. In recent years, professional learning has emphasized developing a common language around high quality teaching and learning and building collaborative cultures that promote high levels of learning for all students through professional learning communities. This work has included a deeper dive into content standards through the selection of essential standards and discussions around mastery and effective assessment, social-emotional learning and having an equity lens in all of our work. In response to student performance data and classroom observation, current professional learning focuses on aligning instruction to standards and student experience, with extra time and resources dedicated to mathematics, science, and the needs of English learners.

Central to our professional learning in 2022-23 is our focus on improving students' ability to comprehend complex informational text through the use of close reading. Principals and their site Instructional Leadership Team (ILTs) are learning about the quality indicators of close reading and the Framework for Powerful Learning to bring professional learning around close reading and informational text back to their sites. Principals and ILT teams meet with teachers during site learning days (SLDs) once a month for a two hour session to engage in the work. Sites are also learning to engage in the Cycle of Professional Learning by training, engaging in safe practice, observing colleagues, receiving feedback, reading professional literature, looking at student work and data, and monitoring, measuring, and modifying when necessary. Through this cycle of professional learning, teachers will improve their practice and promote student comprehension of informational text.

With professional learning communities (PLCs) as a foundation, teachers continue the work of the SLD through their weekly team meetings. Teachers meet weekly, as a grade level team or within discipline areas, to analyze student data and discuss best practices to address the needs of each and every student. Through the introduction of the Cycle of Professional Learning, teachers will begin observing and providing feedback with PLC members throughout the year.

In addition to site professional learning, our Blended Professional Development program for 2022-23 offers self-selected professional development courses which are offered face-to-face and online. Each teacher in the district is responsible for at least four hours of professional learning outside of their regular work day. Regardless of the learning format, our LMSVSD educators are eager to engage in professional development, and the district is committed to supporting them with many tools, strategies, and training.

In order to customize professional learning for certificated staff, Lead Teachers were hired in specific areas (science, math, ELD, specialized academic instruction (SAI), PE, etc.) and offered a stipend to develop at least three sessions that will be offered through our Professional Blended Learning program. The Lead Teachers will contact their job alike cohort members across the district to gather information on specific training topics of interest, and either provide the training or coordinate with an outside expert to provide it.

## Professional Development

Additional individual professional development opportunities offered or planned by Teachers on Special Assignment, Coordinators, Lead Teachers, social workers, and/or administrators for the 2022-23 school year include the following courses:

- Newcomer 101 (to support our newest English Learners)
- Intro to Google Classrooms
- Project Based Learning
- Math Expressions: Getting Started
- Environmental Literacy for Social Studies
- Daily 5 Routine for Running Small Group Rotation
- Amplify: Analyzing Student Work
- High Leverage ELD Strategies
- Number Talks and Dot Talks
- Supporting Diverse Learners
- Virtual Manipulatives in Math Instruction
- Minimizing Math Anxiety
- Redefining Classroom Management
- Social Emotional Learning in PE
- Informational Text in PE

As we continue to support the professional learning of our educators, the LMSVSD Staff website was developed to include pacing guides, essential standards, quick links for tutorials with Google Classroom and other Learning Management Systems, online curriculum supports, and more. The site also includes tools and information for supporting English learners and Special Education students, and providing differentiation for the content areas.

LMSVSD believes in continuous learning, and we will ensure high-quality professional learning to all of our staff throughout the entire 2022-2023 school year.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>	5.5	3.5	3.5