
Parkway Sports and Health Science Academy

2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)



2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	Parkway Sports and Health Science Academy
Street	9009 Park Plaza Drive
City, State, Zip	La Mesa, CA 91941
Phone Number	(619) 668-5810
Principal	Jacob Ruth
Email Address	jacob.ruth@lmsvschools.org
School Website	https://www.lmsvschools.org/parkway/
County-District-School (CDS) Code	37-68197-6038558

2022-23 District Contact Information					
District Name	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District				
Phone Number	(619) 668-5700				
Superintendent	David Feliciano				
Email Address	info@Imsvsd.net				
District Website Address	www.lmsvschools.org				

2022-23 School Overview

Parkway Sports and Health Science Academy is a comprehensive middle school in La Mesa for grades 7-8. Our 630 students are known as Parkway Patriots. We have a strong tradition of academic excellence coupled with a school-wide focus on socialemotional wellness, kindness to others and career/college readiness.

This year Parkway Academy developed a mission statement that reads, "Parkway Sports and Health Science Academy is a school community focused on creating strong academic identities, student wellness, and a culture of thinking and deep learning." We also crafted an instructional focus statement that reads, "We will increase the frequency and depth of the interactions students are having with informative text to improve reading comprehension."

Starting in 2022-2023, Parkway Middle School transformed into Parkway Sports & Health Science Academy. Parkway Academy continues to offer academically rigorous, traditional curriculum in Language Arts, Math, History, Science and PE. Yet, Parkway Academy added an expansive selection of new elective courses focused on health, science and sports, and each student will get two elective classes.

These changes are related to the connection Parkway is building with the Grossmont Union High School District and the robust Career and Technical Education Pathways that are offered at the various high schools in our community. Parkway aims to create direct links to innovative courses offered at the high schools and allow Parkway students to explore the diversity of future opportunities at colleges and in careers to engage them in deeper learning and the development of relevant skills.

Parkway Academy utilizes Restorative Practices to teach students how to make amends and restore relationships with their peers and teachers. Teachers and staff are also exploring Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Positive Behavior Intervention Support to create appropriate systems and structures of support. Parkway teachers work in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) in subject areas: Language Arts, Math, History, Science, Special Education, Physical Education, and Unified Arts. Together, each PLC provides many activities to promote the academic and social/emotional growth of all students. Each department PLC works together to ensure student success and offers intervention and enrichment opportunities. Additionally, each department PLC team meets weekly to discuss students' academic successes and needs, review assessment data, and share best practices for daily instruction.

2022-23 School Overview

The Parkway Academy Counselor and Social Worker provides additional class lessons in social skills, study skills, goal setting, and organization. Students enjoy participating in CJSF, a community service club, and learn lifelong skills. Parkway also has a group of students known as the Wellness Warriors who help promote mental health and emotional stability school wide.

About this School

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level						
Grade Level Number of Students						
Grade 7	269					
Grade 8	311					
Total Enrollment	580					

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	41.2
Male	58.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3
Asian	1.7
Black or African American	6.0
Filipino	2.2
Hispanic or Latino	44.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.7
Two or More Races	9.7
White	35.2
English Learners	10.2
Foster Youth	0.5
Homeless	3.8
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53.8
Students with Disabilities	13.6

A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement							
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent	
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	24.40	84.47	449.90	90.93	228366.10	83.12	
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	3.50	0.71	4205.90	1.53	
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.70	2.53	5.60	1.14	11216.70	4.08	
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	8.50	1.73	12115.80	4.41	
Unknown	3.70	13.01	27.10	5.49	18854.30	6.86	
Total Teaching Positions	28.90	100.00	494.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00	

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)						
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned						
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)						
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)						
Unknown						
Total Teaching Positions						

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	
Misassignments	0.70	
Vacant Positions	0.00	
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.70	

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	
Local Assignment Options	0.00	
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	

Indicator 2020-21 2021-22 Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned) 2.70 No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach) 0.00 Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teachereguitydefinitions.asp. 4

2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials					
Year and month in which the data were collected October 2022					
Subject	Textbooks and Other Instruction Adoption	al Materials/year of	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Ye K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders - Year 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync - Ye Supplemental/Intervention Instructiona Cambrium Learning, Read Well McGraw-Hill, Flex Literacy McGraw-Hill, Wonder Works English Language Development TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Ye	Adopted 2016 ear Adopted 2015 al Materials:	Yes	0	

	K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2009		
Mathematics	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 5th, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Math Expressions - Year Adopted 2015 6th – 8th, College Preparatory Math - Year Adopted 2019 Supplemental Instructional Materials: Moby Max	Yes	0
Science	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Ways - Year Adopted, 2022 K-5th, Pearson Scott-Foresman, California Science - Year Adopted 2007 6th - 8th, Amplify Education Inc, Amplify - Year Adopted 2022 Supplemental Instructional Materials: K-6th, Studies Weekly, Science Weekly	Yes	0
History-Social Science	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K - 6th, Studies Weekly, Studies Weekly - Year Adopted 2020 7th – 8th, National Geographic, World History & US History - Year Adopted 2019	Yes	0
Foreign Language	6th – 8th, Prentice Hall, Realidades - Year Adopted 2004	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Principal, custodians, and maintenance department work collaboratively to continuously inspect the facilities on a regular basis. Work orders are generated when needed, and maintenance is performed as required. An annual FIT report is conducted each year to ensure that the facilities are safe and conducive to learning. Schools also receive annual visits from the fire marshall. Fire extinguishers are inspected annually as well.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report				October 2022
System Inspected	Rate Good		Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		Х		Replace stained ceiling tiles. Repair light cover. Clean carpeting.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Х			
Electrical	Х			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х			

Overall Facility Rate						
Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
	X					

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
- 4. College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

LMSV administered the i-Ready diagnostic assessment to its students in lieu of the statewide summative assessment in 2021.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	57	N/A	48	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	30	N/A	35	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	583	575	98.63	1.37	57.04
Female	237	232	97.89	2.11	59.48
Male	343	340	99.13	0.87	55.00
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	14	12	85.71	14.29	83.33
Black or African American	35	35	100.00	0.00	28.57
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	260	257	98.85	1.15	50.58
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races	58	57	98.28	1.72	57.89
White	201	199	99.00	1.00	68.84
English Learners	55	50	90.91	9.09	22.00
Foster Youth					
Homeless	21	21	100.00	0.00	28.57
Military	29	29	100.00	0.00	58.62
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	306	306	100.00	0.00	44.77
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	79	78	98.73	1.27	14.10

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who met the standard standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	584	578	98.97	1.03	29.58
Female	238	236	99.16	0.84	27.97
Male	343	339	98.83	1.17	30.38
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	14	13	92.86	7.14	53.85
Black or African American	35	35	100.00	0.00	14.29
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	260	258	99.23	0.77	21.71
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races	58	58	100.00	0.00	39.66
White	202	199	98.51	1.49	37.19
English Learners	55	55	100.00	0.00	5.45
Foster Youth					
Homeless	21	21	100.00	0.00	9.52
Military	29	29	100.00	0.00	34.48
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	307	305	99.35	0.65	18.69
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	79	77	97.47	2.53	5.19

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	33.44	NT	32.21	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	304	299	98.36	1.64	33.44
Female	124	121	97.58	2.42	30.58
Male	177	175	98.87	1.13	34.86
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American	24	24	100	0	16.67
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	132	131	99.24	0.76	28.24
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races	31	31	100	0	32.26
White	101	97	96.04	3.96	43.3
English Learners	24	24	100	0	0
Foster Youth					
Homeless	11	11	100	0	27.27
Military	19	19	100	0	31.58
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	152	152	100	0	24.34
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	42	41	97.62	2.38	12.2

2021-22 Career Technical Education Programs

2021-22 Career Technical Education (CTE) Participation

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	
Percent of Pupils that Complete a CTE Program and Earn a High School Diploma	
Percent of CTE Courses that are Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	

Course Enrollment/Completion

This table displays the course enrollment/completion of University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission requirements.

Percent

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%
Grade 9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

At Parkway Sports and Health Science Academy, parents are our partners in the education of students. Communication is paramount to building strong relationships with the community, and there are a variety of ways we promote this. Parents may communicate with teachers daily through email or Jupiter Grades. Every teacher has voicemail and email. Blackboard ConnectEd "all call" phone calls, newsletters, and report cards are other vehicles to support strong communication between home and school. Our weekly news broadcast, website, monthly calendar, and information hotline keep everyone current on the events and daily activities at school. We also have Twitter, Instagram, and Facebook for updates on activities.

Parents are welcome in the classrooms, as office helpers, and at all celebrations. There are many opportunities through parent/teacher conferences, Back-To-School Night, and Open House when parents are involved on campus. Parent education nights often include the whole family.

Parkway PTSA is active in supporting programs and clubs by providing resources and materials. Our School Site Council (SSC) is constructed of elected parents, students and staff representatives who meet throughout the year to oversee the school improvement program. Our English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), made up of parents and Parkway's principal, work together to ensure there are opportunities for parents and students who are second language learners. ELAC gives input on instructional practices and budget expenditures to ensure English learner students are supported in learning English in all of their classes.

Campus Tours are provided and the principal believes in an "open-door" policy. We want parents to know their children are in good hands. Parents interested in volunteer opportunities can contact our School Office Manager, Julianne Pantehis, at (619) 668-5810 x1197.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates;
- High school graduation rates; and
- Chronic Absenteeism

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)									
Indicator	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2019-20	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2019-20	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Dropout Rate								8.9	7.8
Graduation Rate								84.2	87

2021-22 Graduation Rate by Student Group (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

This table displays the 2021-22 graduation rate by student group. For information on the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), visit the CDE Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/acgrinfo.asp.

Student Group	Number of Students in Cohort	Number of Cohort Graduates	Cohort Graduation Rate
All Students	0	0	0.0
Female	0	0	0.0
Male	0	0	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0
Asian	0	0	0.0
Black or African American	0	0	0.0
Filipino	0	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0	0.0
White	0	0	0.0
English Learners	0	0	0.0
Foster Youth	0	0	0.0
Homeless	0	0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0.0

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	642	622	157	25.2
Female	265	258	69	26.7
Male	374	361	87	24.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	2	1	50.0
Asian	16	14	2	14.3
Black or African American	42	40	15	37.5
Filipino	13	13	4	30.8
Hispanic or Latino	282	272	80	29.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	4	1	25.0
Two or More Races	64	60	7	11.7
White	217	215	46	21.4
English Learners	75	70	25	35.7
Foster Youth	5	4	0	0.0
Homeless	28	26	12	46.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	380	366	116	31.7
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	92	89	42	47.2

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	2.40	2.27	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.67	6.07	0.52	2.77	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	6.07	0.00
Female	4.91	0.00
Male	6.95	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	6.25	0.00
Black or African American	21.43	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	6.03	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	6.25	0.00
White	3.69	0.00
English Learners	4.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	3.57	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7.11	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	8.70	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

In La Mesa-Spring Valley School district, each site creates a Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP). Site plans outline systems, programs, and practices in place to ensure a safe school environment including, but not limited to, child abuse reporting, disaster preparedness, campus access, and drug and alcohol education and emergency response. These plans are approved by the school site council (in December) and later by the La Mesa-Spring Valley School Board of Education (in February).

Each school site has one teacher appointed as the school's Safety Lead. This person attends monthly safety meetings and professional developments with the district's Chief Safety Officer and implements safety initiatives at their sites, in collaboration with site administration. The Safety Lead supports the creation of the site's CSSP and is a member of the larger safety team on each site. The safety team is responsible for periodically conducting hazard and vulnerability assessments of the campus, setting goals and action steps relative to the district Safety Standards, engaging in a Safety Standards Self Assessment, and creating school-wide safety plans and protocols that are detailed in the CSSP.

Lastly, La Mesa-Spring Valley continues to focus on the safety of staff and students in our district through facilities and infrastructure initiatives. Currently, the district is beginning the implementation of the CrisisGo Emergency Response Platform to strengthen communication and consistency in the event of an emergency. Increased measures for campus safety are also being implemented as part of a series of Facilities Master Plan improvements including but not limited to extensions of current fencing, securing locked gates, implementing single points of entry to campus, and initiating remote entry controlled by office staff at each school site in the district. Such added measures are added to the CSSP, where relevant.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				

2019-20 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	25	11	12	10
Mathematics	26	9	17	4
Science	30		20	6
Social Science	30	1	16	8

2020-21 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	24	11	18	4
Mathematics	29	6	10	10
Science	33		9	12
Social Science	32	1	7	14

2021-22 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	22	13	15	1
Mathematics	23	10	15	1
Science	28	1	17	2
Social Science	28	2	15	3

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	580

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	0.8
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1.0

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,475.42	\$988.55	\$5,486.87	\$91,346.41
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,541.23	\$89,200
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-1.0	2.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$87,271
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-42.5	6.2

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Special Education Title II Part A - Improving Teacher Quality Title III Part A - Limited English Lottery - Instructional Materials

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</u>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$58,705	\$52,641
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,510	\$83,981
Highest Teacher Salary	\$109,299	\$107,522
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$139,926	\$136,247
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$151,011	\$142,248
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$139,199
Superintendent Salary	\$223,665	\$242,166
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

2021-22 Advanced Placement (AP) Courses

This table displays the percent of student in AP courses at this school.

Percent of Students in AP Courses

This table displays the number of AP courses offered at this school where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered
Computer Science	0
English	0
Fine and Performing Arts	0
Foreign Language	0
Mathematics	0
Science	0
Social Science	0
Total AP Courses Offered Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.	0

Professional Development

Professional learning in La Mesa-Spring Valley School District (LMSVSD) continues evolving to cultivate capacity and efficacy of teachers so they can ensure high levels of learning for each and every student. In recent years, professional learning has emphasized developing a common language around high quality teaching and learning and building collaborative cultures that promote high levels of learning for all students through professional learning communities. This work has included a deeper dive into content standards through the selection of essential standards and discussions around mastery and effective assessment, social-emotional learning and having an equity lens in all of our work. In response to student performance data and classroom observation, current professional learning focuses on aligning instruction to standards and student experience, with extra time and resources dedicated to mathematics, science, and the needs of English learners.

Central to our professional learning in 2022-23 is our focus on improving students' ability to comprehend complex informational text through the use of close reading. Principals and their site Instructional Leadership Team (ILTs) are learning about the quality indicators of close reading and the Framework for Powerful Learning to bring professional learning around close reading and informational text back to their sites. Principals and ILT teams meet with teachers during site learning days (SLDs) once a month for a two hour session to engage in the work. Sites are also learning to engage in the Cycle of Professional Learning by training, engaging in safe practice, observing colleagues, receiving feedback, reading professional literature, looking at student work and data, and monitoring, measuring, and modifying when necessary. Through this cycle of professional learning, teachers will improve their practice and promote student comprehension of informational text.

With professional learning communities (PLCs) as a foundation, teachers continue the work of the SLD through their weekly team meetings. Teachers meet weekly, as a grade level team or within discipline areas, to analyze student data and discuss best practices to address the needs of each and every student. Through the introduction of the Cycle of Professional Learning, teachers will begin observing and providing feedback with PLC members throughout the year.

In addition to site professional learning, our Blended Professional Development program for 2022-23 offers self-selected professional development courses which are offered face-to-face and online. Each teacher in the district is responsible for at least four hours of professional learning outside of their regular work day. Regardless of the learning format, our LMSVSD educators are eager to engage in professional development, and the district is committed to supporting them with many tools, strategies, and training.

In order to customize professional learning for certificated staff, Lead Teachers were hired in specific areas (science, math, ELD, specialized academic instruction (SAI), PE, etc.) and offered a stipend to develop at least three sessions that will be offered through our Professional Blended Learning program. The Lead Teachers will contact their job alike cohort members across the district to gather information on specific training topics of interest, and either provide the training or coordinate with an outside expert to provide it.

Additional individual professional development opportunities offered or planned by Teachers on Special Assignment, Coordinators, Lead Teachers, social workers, and/or administrators for the 2022-23 school year include the following courses:

- Newcomer 101 (to support our newest English Learners)
- Intro to Google Classrooms
- Project Based Learning
- Math Expressions: Getting Started
- Environmental Literacy for Social Studies
- Daily 5 Routine for Running Small Group Rotation
- Amplify: Analyzing Student Work
- High Leverage ELD Strategies
- Number Talks and Dot Talks
- Supporting Diverse Learners
- Virtual Manipulatives in Math Instruction
- Minimizing Math Anxiety
- Redefining Classroom Management
- Social Emotional Learning in PE
- Informational Text in PE

As we continue to support the professional learning of our educators, the LMSVSD Staff website was developed to include pacing guides, essential standards, quick links for tutorials with Google Classroom and other Learning Management Systems, online curriculum supports, and more. The site also includes tools and information for supporting English learners and Special Education students, and providing differentiation for the content areas.

LMSVSD believes in continuous learning, and we will ensure high-quality professional learning to all of our staff throughout the entire 2022-2023 school year.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	5.5	3.5	3.5