

School Year: **2023-24**



# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
La Mesa Arts Academy	37 68197 0132290	May 22, 2023	June 20, 2023

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

- Schoolwide Program
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Our School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) aligns with the District's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) as each goal (and its associated strategy(ies)/activity(ies) can be referenced in the current LCAP. The district's LCAP is the foundation for all expenditures incurred by the District. Based on the needs assessment and goals found in the LCAP, the school will utilize federal funds, when applicable, to supplement base funding in accordance with the purpose and goals of each specific goal. Federal funds, if received, will be used as outlined in the School Plan for Student Achievement to purchase supplemental materials not part of the adopted standards aligned curriculum and core base programs.

# Table of Contents

- SPSA Title Page ..... 1
- Purpose and Description..... 1
- Table of Contents..... 2
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components ..... 4
  - Data Analysis ..... 4
  - Surveys ..... 4
  - Classroom Observations..... 5
  - Analysis of Current Instructional Program..... 5
- Educational Partner Involvement ..... 12
- Resource Inequities ..... 12
- School and Student Performance Data ..... 13
  - Student Enrollment..... 13
  - CAASPP Results..... 15
  - ELPAC Results ..... 19
- 2022-23 Annual Review ..... 22
  - Goal 1..... 22
  - Goal 2..... 24
  - Goal 3..... 26
  - Goal 4..... 28
- 2023-24 Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures ..... 30
  - Goal 1..... 30
  - Goal 2..... 35
  - Goal 3..... 39
  - Goal 4..... 41
- Budget Summary ..... 45
  - Budget Summary ..... 45
  - Other Federal, State, and Local Funds ..... 45
- Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan ..... 46
  - Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source..... 46
  - Expenditures by Funding Source ..... 46
  - Expenditures by Budget Reference ..... 46
  - Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source ..... 46
  - Expenditures by Goal..... 46
- School Site Council Membership ..... 47
- Recommendations and Assurances ..... 48
- Instructions..... 49

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents.....49  
Purpose and Description.....50  
Educational Partner Involvement .....50  
Resource Inequities .....50  
Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review .....51  
Annual Review .....52  
Budget Summary .....53  
Appendix A: Plan Requirements .....55  
Appendix B:.....58  
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs .....60

# Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

## Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Our school's comprehensive needs assessment included the solicitation of input and feedback from our educational partners (parents, teachers, staff, etc.). Our 2022-23 Annual Parent Survey was distributed to gather data regarding the climate and safety of the school and to solicit input about the strengths and areas for growth of the many programs and services we offer. Data from these surveys is included throughout our 2023-24 SPSA.

Consultations and connections with our educational partners took place through in-person meetings, virtual Zoom meetings, online surveys, phone conversations, and student home visits (when possible) and allowed us to assess our educational program, academic interventions, social-emotional and behavioral supports, parent engagement, and school connectedness.

Surveys sent in preparation for or during the 2022-23 school year included (but were not limited to) topics such as :

- Support for academic learning
- School safety
- Belonging in the school Community

Parent data indicated a desire for more transparency in regards to school safety protocols. Teacher data displayed the desire for more training and direction in school safety and discipline procedures for students.

The Extended School Services (ESS) program, which provides before and after school childcare in an academic and recreational setting, distributes annual parent surveys to participating families at all twenty-one (21) La Mesa-Spring Valley School District sites. The primary goal of the parent survey is to collect and understand parent opinions, perspectives, attitudes, and perceptions of the ESS team members, the services provided, and the general environment. Results are shared with all ESS employees and action plans are developed and put into place to assist in achieving improvement goals for continuous quality improvement.

This year's data revealed that 98% of the district families surveyed feel that ESS provides a safe and happy environment for children, that the program provides expanded learning opportunities that their students enjoy (ESSPN Sports League, cheer, dance, robotics, fine and performing art classes, etc.), and that the ESS staff communicate and interact positively with children. A highlight from this year's survey was the feedback on ESS staff and ESS parent communication. 99% percent of parents surveyed stated that they know that if they have a question or concern that they can speak with an ESS staff member about it. Continued opportunities for growth include additional support for student homework completion.

Our school will continue to solicit input and feedback from our educational partners during the 2023-24 school year and utilize the data to improve the work that we are doing in support of our students, families, and staff.

## **Classroom Observations**

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

### **District/Site Collaborative Walks:**

To collect district or school-wide evidence of progress in the implementation of the SPSA and principal goals – to build coherence, identify strengths, areas for growth, and next steps for professional learning, student support, and teaching and learning across the system.

### **Principal Cohort Walks:**

To build a common language and understanding of high quality teaching and learning through inquiry and to build a collaborative network that provides feedback and suggestions to the school on a focus area.

### **Formal Classroom Observations:**

To evaluate a teacher's performance as outlined by the teacher evaluation document and association contract. These are observation cycles that include both formal and informal observations with feedback meetings.

### **Frequent Informal Principal Observations:**

To monitor daily instructional practices in order to coach teachers, provide targeted feedback and support, and collect evidence on the professional development needs of staff.

### **Peer Observations:**

Ghost walks and peer-to-peer observations provide organized processes for viewing a school's classrooms and/or halls to collect evidence about how well school improvement efforts are being implemented, emphasizing what we can learn from each other.

## **Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## **Standards, Assessment, and Accountability**

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Through Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), teachers analyze both state yearly assessments and district benchmark assessments taken at specific intervals throughout the year. Teachers set goals and modify adopted curriculum and instruction to address students' needs in both classroom instruction and Response to Intervention (RTI) groupings and topics.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Teachers use curriculum-embedded unit, weekly, and daily assessments to monitor student progress toward mastering grade level standards. Formative assessments lead to modifications in instruction to meet the needs of students.

## **Staffing and Professional Development**

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

Staff at our school site meet the requirements for being highly qualified.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

All teachers and counselors at our school site are properly credentialed.

All teachers will participate in site-based staff development that takes place 1-2 times a month. We believe that building teacher capacity needs to be systematic and collaborative. Professional development is supported with daily interactions with and feedback from the principal. Individuals and collaborative teams identify areas of focus based on student need and site priorities which include: comprehension of grade level informational text, common vocabulary language across all curricular areas that is reviewed in the school wide broadcast, and a focus on social/emotional needs.

Additionally, teachers are required to attend four hours of professional learning outside of their work day (per an MOU with LMSVTA) that is offered through the district Content Leads, TOSAs, San Diego County Office of Education, and other outside agencies. The district Professional Learning Program has an extensive calendar of offerings that are provided online, face-to-face, and through a blended format. Professional development courses in 22-23 included:

Newcomer 101 (to support our newest English Learners)

Intro to Google Classrooms

Project Based Learning

Math Expressions: Getting Started

Environmental Literacy for Social Studies

Amplify: Analyzing Student Work

High Leverage ELD Strategies

Number Talks

Supporting Diverse Learners

Classroom Management

Social Emotional Learning in PE

Informational Text in PE and elective classes

NGSS

Best practices for iReady Personalized Instruction

iReady Engaging Students through Data Chats

iReady: Using Data to Plan Instruction

Supporting students with foundational reading skills through SIPPS (Systematic Instruction in Phonological Awareness, Phonics and Sight Words) training

Regardless of the learning format, our educators are eager to engage in professional development, and the District is committed to providing them with many tools, strategies, and training to support all learning models.

## Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Staff development is provided to teachers and other staff to address the identified needs of our students. It is aligned to California Core Content Standards and CASEL Social Emotional Learning (SEL) competencies as appropriate. Areas of need are identified by student achievement data, student SEL surveys, educational partners' input, and observed areas of need. Growth and improvement are measured through principal classroom walkthrough data and student performance. Needs assessments and feedback are elicited in conjunction with each professional growth offering. Based on the feedback, professional growth is adjusted to increase effectiveness. The District is intentional about providing a through line of professional learning that targets the professional growth of new teachers, developing teachers, teacher leaders, new administrators, and veteran administrators.

Staff development focuses on:

- Cultural proficiency and educational equity
- Use of local assessments to inform and modify instruction
- District wide targeted instructional area of comprehension of informational text
- Framework for Powerful Learning
- Data driven decision making
- Development of strong PLCs and Instructional Leadership Team
- Supporting the whole child in academics, behavior, social emotional learning and mental health
- PBIS
- Restorative practice approach to discipline

Site level professional development includes site learning day presentations and PLC work. Teachers work collaboratively to plan instruction based on essential standards, reflect on lessons, and analyze student work and assessment data, to improve their practice and increase student achievement. Staff development at our school site in the 2023-24 school year will focus on comprehension of grade level informational text.

## Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Site-based professional development, grade-level collaboration, principal observation, and feedback /coaching provide on-going assistance and capacity building for teachers. Response to Intervention support (Resource Teacher, Teachers on Special Assignment, and Special Education support staff) all support classroom instruction.



Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Each grade-level/department at our school has regularly scheduled meetings to collaborate on the analysis of data, lesson design, and the use of instructional strategies and best practices that work to improve student achievement and performance. Each meeting is designed in professional learning community (PLC) format where each member takes a leadership role and an expectation of reflective conversations around data analysis, program effectiveness, and "moving the needle" toward student success and achievement. Professional learning communities allow for an ongoing process in which educators work collaboratively in recurring cycles of collective inquiry and action research to achieve better results for the students they serve. Professional learning communities operate under the assumption that the key to improved learning for students is continuous job-embedded learning for educators.

## Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Following the state adoption cycles, the district-provided curriculum materials and instruction are in alignment with the California Content Standards.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

Instructional minutes in all subject areas are monitored by the District. All teachers turn in their classroom schedule to school administration to ensure adherence to the District guidelines.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Essential Standards in math and language arts provide a framework for lesson pacing. All students have a copy of each textbook and/or workbook for core curriculum. Student daily schedules are modified to allow for intervention as needed.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

Each classroom and student has easy access to adopted curriculum assigned to them in all content areas. The adoption of instructional materials process includes a preview to determine that they are appropriate for the student groups for whom they are purchased.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

All students have State Board of Education adopted materials for their courses, intervention materials (when needed), and access to standards-aligned core courses. Use of materials is monitored by school administration on a daily basis.

## Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

All students receive Common Core standards-based instruction at their appropriate grade level. In classrooms, teachers provide additional access to the curriculum through small group instruction. In ELD classes, students are grouped by instructional level, and are assessed and regrouped appropriately. Teachers are required to examine student work samples and meet in subject specific and/or department-level meetings to ensure that students are mastering standards. They also determine student needs, adjust instruction, and plan re-instruction accordingly. Intervention action plans are developed when appropriate. The Student Study Team process is used to identify and monitor students at risk. Interventions are agreed upon and implemented by the classroom teacher in collaboration with the student's family.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Information from state assessments (when available), I-Ready data in math and reading, CORE social emotional learning survey (grades 4-8), common assessments, benchmark assessments, needs assessments and surveys, and staff development provide the basis for School Site Council and administrative planning. The use of data to modify and drive instruction with effective evidence-based instructional strategies is our major focus to raise student achievement.

In 2023-24, the following evidence-based educational practices will be employed and focused on to increase and improve student achievement across the campus and across all disciplines:

- Professional Learning Communities (PLC)
- Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS)
- Multi-tiered Systems of Support (MTSS)
- Restorative Practices
- Diversion Program
- i-Ready
- PBIS

## Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Opportunities are provided throughout the year so that families can learn to support their children academically and behaviorally.

To support successful school and family interactions, the district is committed to engaging parents through:

- Parent education workshops provided by district staff and local community agencies
- The use of multiple methods of communication (flyers, phone calls, district/school websites, weekly newsletter from principal via Jupiter, Jupiter messages from teachers to families) to promote participation
- The continuance of School Site Councils and English Learner Advisory Committees at each site who send a representatives from each site to the district-level parent advisory committees, known as the District Parent Advisory Committee
- The distribution of a district-wide parent survey with disaggregated results for each site that can lead to improved results
- The maintenance of a district website with current resources available to parents to support them in working with their children’s academic, social, and emotional needs
- Enhancing the awareness and skills of teachers, principals, and staff in reaching out to, communicating with, and working with parents as equal partners

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

All educational partners (parents, community members, teachers, and other school personnel) have an opportunity to give input on the use of federal funds (as applicable) and the implementation of programs. Specifically, at our school site, the members of the School Site Council (SSC) and the English Language Advisory Council (ELAC) meet to discuss these matters directly with each other. The District holds monthly educational partners’ meetings with parents (DPAC District Parent Advisory Committee) where district programs are discussed. In addition, the District holds meetings throughout the year with other educational partners (classified staff, certificated staff, administrative staff) to obtain input about district programs.

### Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Our school does not receive any categorical funds.

### Fiscal support (EPC)

Our school does not receive any categorical funds.

# Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Feedback and input from key educational partner groups are critical for the ongoing success of our students. As part of the planning process for the 2023-24 School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), school leadership met with the School Site Council (SSC) and the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). Achievement data (local and state, if available) was shared with these groups and analyzed for trends and patterns of under-performance. That analysis is summarized in the SPSA as the Annual Review for each goal.

School educational partner involvement meeting dates were as follows:

- ELAC #3 Meeting, April 17, 2023 at 8:15am
- SSC # 4 Meeting, April 17, 2023 at 2:45pm; SSC #5 Meeting, May 22, 2023 at 2:45pm
- Staff Meetings where the 2023-24 SPSA goals and metrics were discussed: April 25, 2023 and May 23, 2023
- Other meetings where the 2023-24 SPSA goals and metrics were discussed: PTSA Meeting on June 7, 2023

Feedback from these meetings resulted in the development of goals to be included in the SPSA (Planned Improvement Goals). The district Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) was also reviewed to ensure that the Planned Improvement goals of the SPSA aligned with the goals outlined in the district's LCAP.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

Our needs assessment involved a review of our SBAC and dashboard data (where available) as well as our current academic data, parent survey results, chronic attendance rates, and student survey results. When we look at our data compared to our actions and services, we noted the following resource inequities:

Lack of funding to provide additional staffing for before/after school tutoring especially for our students with Disabilities and lack of transportation for students to get to school on time. We also were challenged with filling campus attendant and paraprofessional positions.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
American Indian	0.1%	0.09%	0.1%	1	1	1
African American	5.0%	3.47%	4.18%	54	38	44
Asian	3.4%	3.47%	3.14%	37	38	33
Filipino	0.6%	0.37%	1.05%	7	4	11
Hispanic/Latino	32.4%	36.44%	36.22%	354	399	381
Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.09%	0%	1	1	0
White	48.2%	44.66%	43.54%	526	489	458
Multiple/No Response	10.3%	11.05%	11.79%	112	121	124
<b>Total Enrollment</b>				1,092	1095	1052

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4	100	105	98
Grade 5	134	133	130
Grade 6	163	175	164
Grade 7	349	334	332
Grade 8	346	348	328
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	1,092	1,095	1,052

### The conclusions based on this data

1. Enrollment by student group has remained relatively consistent across the three year span
2. Overall student enrollment decreased this past year.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
English Learners	53	66	60	4.90%	6.0%	5.7%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	121	102	105	11.10%	9.3%	10.0%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	13		13	24.5%		17.3%

### The conclusions based on this data

1. Enrollment of EL students has remained relatively the same over the three year span.
2. The percentage of RFEP'd students has decreased from 20-21 school year.

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4	101	104		0	100		0	100		0.0	96.2	
Grade 5	131	132		0	130		0	130		0.0	98.5	
Grade 6	159	172		0	166		0	166		0.0	96.5	
Grade 7	344	320		0	306		0	306		0.0	95.6	
Grade 8	339	339		0	319		0	319		0.0	94.1	
All Grades	1074	1067		0	1021		0	1021		0.0	95.7	

The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		2506.			39.00			31.00			10.00			20.00	
Grade 5		2559.			42.31			33.85			15.38			8.46	
Grade 6		2585.			37.35			40.96			13.86			7.83	
Grade 7		2585.			27.78			39.87			18.30			14.05	
Grade 8		2612.			32.29			37.93			20.69			9.09	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A		33.69			37.81			17.14			11.36	

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		34.00			55.00			11.00	
Grade 5		34.62			58.46			6.92	
Grade 6		34.94			58.43			6.63	
Grade 7		25.49			62.09			12.42	
Grade 8		34.17			55.49			10.34	
All Grades		31.73			58.28			9.99	

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		29.00			57.00			14.00	
Grade 5		31.01			60.47			8.53	
Grade 6		27.71			60.84			11.45	
Grade 7		36.93			50.00			13.07	
Grade 8		31.35			56.43			12.23	
All Grades		32.16			55.78			12.06	

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		14.00			81.00			5.00	
Grade 5		20.77			70.77			8.46	
Grade 6		24.70			71.69			3.61	
Grade 7		17.65			74.84			7.52	
Grade 8		18.50			73.04			8.46	
All Grades		19.10			73.85			7.05	

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		19.00			74.00			7.00	
Grade 5		30.77			64.62			4.62	
Grade 6		36.14			57.83			6.02	
Grade 7		31.37			56.86			11.76	
Grade 8		35.74			57.68			6.58	
All Grades		32.22			59.94			7.84	

**The conclusions based on this data**

1. Conclusions will be drawn when CAASPP results become available.
2. Conclusions will be drawn when CAASPP results become available.
3. Conclusions will be drawn when CAASPP results become available.



# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4	101	104		0	97		0	97		0.0	93.3	
Grade 5	131	132		0	128		0	128		0.0	97.0	
Grade 6	159	172		0	166		0	166		0.0	96.5	
Grade 7	344	321		0	305		0	305		0.0	95.0	
Grade 8	339	339		0	300		0	300		0.0	88.5	
All Grades	1074	1068		0	996		0	996		0.0	93.3	

\* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		2492.			25.77			24.74			36.08			13.40	
Grade 5		2517.			21.09			27.34			27.34			24.22	
Grade 6		2581.			40.36			24.70			21.69			13.25	
Grade 7		2547.			25.90			20.33			24.59			29.18	
Grade 8		2577.			28.67			20.67			23.33			27.33	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A		28.51			22.49			25.20			23.80	

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 4		34.02			45.36			20.62	
Grade 5		26.56			46.09			27.34	
Grade 6		34.94			50.00			15.06	
Grade 7		27.21			44.92			27.87	
Grade 8		27.67			49.67			22.67	
All Grades		29.22			47.39			23.39	

<b>Problem Solving &amp; Modeling/Data Analysis</b>									
<b>Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems</b>									
<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>% Above Standard</b>			<b>% At or Near Standard</b>			<b>% Below Standard</b>		
	<b>20-21</b>	<b>21-22</b>	<b>22-23</b>	<b>20-21</b>	<b>21-22</b>	<b>22-23</b>	<b>20-21</b>	<b>21-22</b>	<b>22-23</b>
<b>Grade 4</b>		24.74			56.70			18.56	
<b>Grade 5</b>		20.31			56.25			23.44	
<b>Grade 6</b>		30.72			59.04			10.24	
<b>Grade 7</b>		21.31			54.43			24.26	
<b>Grade 8</b>		23.67			53.00			23.33	
<b>All Grades</b>		23.80			55.22			20.98	

<b>Communicating Reasoning</b>									
<b>Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions</b>									
<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>% Above Standard</b>			<b>% At or Near Standard</b>			<b>% Below Standard</b>		
	<b>20-21</b>	<b>21-22</b>	<b>22-23</b>	<b>20-21</b>	<b>21-22</b>	<b>22-23</b>	<b>20-21</b>	<b>21-22</b>	<b>22-23</b>
<b>Grade 4</b>		26.80			55.67			17.53	
<b>Grade 5</b>		22.66			62.50			14.84	
<b>Grade 6</b>		31.33			58.43			10.24	
<b>Grade 7</b>		20.98			64.92			14.10	
<b>Grade 8</b>		26.00			58.33			15.67	
<b>All Grades</b>		25.00			60.64			14.36	

**The conclusions based on this data**

1. Conclusions will be drawn when CAASPP results become available.
2. Conclusions will be drawn when CAASPP results become available.
3. Conclusions will be drawn when CAASPP results become available.

# School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		5	5	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		7	7	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		6	8	
7	1563.2	1568.8		1560.4	1570.6		1565.5	1566.5		16	27	
8	1593.3	1598.7		1608.3	1610.9		1577.8	1585.8		17	19	
All Grades										51	66	

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	31.25	40.74		43.75	29.63		18.75	25.93		6.25	3.70		16	27	
8	29.41	47.37		58.82	36.84		11.76	15.79		0.00	0.00		17	19	
All Grades	35.29	46.97		50.98	31.82		11.76	19.70		1.96	1.52		51	66	

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	37.50	44.44		50.00	33.33		6.25	18.52		6.25	3.70		16	27	
8	47.06	63.16		52.94	31.58		0.00	5.26		0.00	0.00		17	19	
All Grades	54.90	56.06		41.18	31.82		1.96	10.61		1.96	1.52		51	66	

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	12.50	25.93		50.00	37.04		25.00	22.22		12.50	14.81		16	27	
8	11.76	26.32		47.06	36.84		41.18	36.84		0.00	0.00		17	19	
All Grades	17.65	27.27		43.14	36.36		33.33	27.27		5.88	9.09		51	66	

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	31.25	18.52		68.75	51.85		0.00	29.63		16	27	
8	41.18	42.11		47.06	47.37		11.76	10.53		17	19	
All Grades	45.10	33.33		49.02	51.52		5.88	15.15		51	66	

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	56.25	74.07		37.50	22.22		6.25	3.70		16	27	
8	82.35	78.95		17.65	21.05		0.00	0.00		17	19	
All Grades	76.47	74.24		21.57	24.24		1.96	1.52		51	66	

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	12.50	29.63		68.75	40.74		18.75	29.63		16	27	
8	47.06	31.58		23.53	31.58		29.41	36.84		17	19	
<b>All Grades</b>	29.41	33.33		49.02	40.91		21.57	25.76		51	66	

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
7	12.50	18.52		81.25	77.78		6.25	3.70		16	27	
8	5.88	21.05		94.12	78.95		0.00	0.00		17	19	
<b>All Grades</b>	17.65	28.79		80.39	69.70		1.96	1.52		51	66	

**The conclusions based on this data**

1. Conclusions will be drawn when ELPAC results become available.
2. Conclusions will be drawn when ELPAC results become available.
3. Conclusions will be drawn when ELPAC results become available.

# 2022-23 Annual Review

## Goal Subject

English Language Arts

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1 - ACHIEVE - We will increase achievement for all of our students while decreasing performance gaps and educational inequities. (State Priority 4-Pupil Achievement, 8-Other Pupil Outcomes)

## Goal 1

### Statewide Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from 70% to 73%.

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from 34% to 42%.

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from 58% to 66%.

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from 21% to 29%.

### Local Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 64% to 67%.

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 21% to 29%.

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 54% to 62%.

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 18% to 26%.

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

With the implementation of the iReady program school-wide in ELA and Math, we have seen improvement in academic progress. All students in grades 4-8 participate in weekly iReady lessons in both Reading and Math a minimum of 45 minutes per week. These lessons meet students where they are at as far as skills and then challenges them progressively as they show mastery in content. This is in addition to the in-class instruction and practice each student receives on a daily basis. In addition, we facilitated the iReady diagnostic in Reading and Math three times in the 2022-2023 school year. With each diagnostic given, we saw school-wide improvement in both Reading and Math.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major differences in the intended implementation.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

For more accurate comparison from year to year, we will focus data and goals on i-Ready Diagnostic 2 results, rather than Diagnostic 3, which is not yet available at the writing of this document.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for this school year is at 64% and last year at this time we were at 59% and 64% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for English Learners this school year is at 17% and last year at this time we were at 8% and 21% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for socioeconomically disadvantaged students this school year is at 56% and last year at this time we were at 46% and 54% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for students with disabilities this school year is at 22% and last year at this time we were at 15% and 17% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Based on our progress as of January 2023, and compared to our growth during the 2021-2022 school year, we are on track to meet or exceed each of these goals.

# 2022-23 Annual Review

## Goal Subject

Math

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1 - ACHIEVE - We will increase achievement for all of our students while decreasing performance gaps and educational inequities. (State Priority 4-Pupil Achievement, 8-Other Pupil Outcomes)

## Goal 2

Statewide Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from 52% to 55%.

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from 17% to 25%.

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from 40% to 48%.

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from 12% to 20%.

Local Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 55% to 58%.

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 16% to 24%.

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 41% to 49%.

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 9% to 17%.

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS



Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

With the implementation of the iReady program school-wide in ELA and Math, we have seen improvement in academic progress. All students in grades 4-8 participate in weekly iReady lessons in both Reading and Math a minimum of 45 minutes per week. These lessons meet students where they are at as far as skills and then challenges them progressively as they show mastery in content. This is in addition to the in-class instruction and practice each student receives on a daily basis. In addition, we facilitated the iReady diagnostic in Reading and Math three times in the 2022-2023 school year. With each diagnostic given, we saw school-wide improvement in both Reading and Math.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major differences in the intended implementation.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

For more accurate comparison from year to year, we will focus data and goals on i-Ready Diagnostic 2 results, rather than Diagnostic 3, which is not yet available at the writing of this document.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for this school year is at 50% and last year at this time we were at 45% and 56% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for English Learners this school year is at 11% and last year at this time we were at 13% and 17% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for socioeconomically disadvantaged students this school year is at 42% and last year at this time we were at 32% and 41% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Our iReady diagnostic 2 data for students with disabilities this school year is at 11% and last year at this time we were at 6% and 8% at the end of the year on i Ready Diagnostic 3.

Based on our progress as of January 2023, and compared to our growth during the 2021-2022 school year, we are on track to meet or exceed each of these goals.

# 2022-23 Annual Review

## Goal Subject

Student Engagement

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2 - ENGAGE - We will engage our students and the learning community in order to ensure the skills and supports necessary for social, emotional, and physical well-being. (State Priority 3-Parent Involvement, 5-Pupil Engagement, 6-School Climate)

## Goal 3

The percentage of students who are chronically absent from school will decrease by 1% to 17.02%.

# Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

While our most recent Parent Survey results reveal that our students feel safe at school, more focused work is needed as we continue to develop our climate of respect and connectedness amongst all student groups as we continue with getting back to in person learning. Our school lives by what we call the "LMAAC Way". This philosophy promotes characteristics of respect, gratitude, empathy, compassion, and kindness. We have weekly media broadcast messages that all students and staff view on the characteristics of Living the LMAAC Way. Administration reinforced the LMAAC Way several times per year through school assemblies where behavior expectations were discussed explicitly. When discipline is required, administration uses a restorative practices framework where behavior is retaught, restorative conversations are had, and harm is reduced.

Our school counseling staff regularly facilitated classroom lessons on social-emotional well-being as well as providing small group and individual counseling for students who can benefit from more individualized support. They also held a mental health fair where students learned about social emotional coping strategies. To gauge campus climate, our school counseling staff facilitated focus groups to identify what is going well on campus and what areas are in need of improvement. This information was shared with all staff to promote student voice in decisions made at school.

In addition to the above activities, we also increased our School Social Worker time on campus from 50% (2.5 days per week) to 100% (5 days per week). This has increased social emotional supports greatly. Our social worker facilitates individual and small group counseling services, preventative classroom lessons, links families with community and mental health resources, and case manages students with higher level mental health needs. As a result of our social worker, we have a therapy dog on campus once a week and a mental health counselor providing therapy services weekly.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Due to the district supporting a full time social worker, our school site budget was not impacted.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goal 3 will focus on connectedness to school. It will be measured through reducing chronic absenteeism amongst our students, especially our students with disabilities. A specific goal will be made to address attendance for students with disabilities.

# 2022-23 Annual Review

## Goal Subject

School Climate & Culture

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2 - ENGAGE - We will engage our students and the learning community in order to ensure the skills and supports necessary for social, emotional, and physical well-being.

## Goal 4

The percentage of students who respond favorably will increase to the following percentages in each domain:

Culture/Climate - 87.34%  
Growth Mindset - 85.07%  
Self-Management- 96.86%  
Self-Efficacy- 81.56%  
Social Awareness- 88.54%

The percentage of parents who respond favorably will increase to the following percentages in each domain:

Support for Academic Learning - 98%  
Sense of Community - 93%  
Sense of Safety - 91%

The percentage of students suspended will decrease to .70%.

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

This year we held our annual Foundation Fundraiser of Party in the Stars and PTSA activities such as Coffee and Cocoa with students and parents at every grade level twice this year. Additionally, we offered in person Back to School Night, Open House, Performing Arts Concerts, and Art Gallery evenings. Our School Site Council and ELAC Meetings were offered in person and/or via zoom. Coffee Hours with our school counselor were offered via Zoom every Friday morning. Other opportunities included a parent information night via zoom on Internet Safety which is funded by our PTSA.

We kept parents informed and engaged in upcoming events happenings at school and information they needed through our "LMAAC Weekly Bulletin" which went out to all families every Friday for the upcoming week. This communication included a calendar of events for the week, a look ahead at upcoming events, important links and school flyers. In addition, we used social media (Instagram and Facebook) to further engage our families with important information and pictures from our many events. The weekly bulletin and our social media presence increased our parent and community engagement this year.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major difference in the intended implementation due to budget expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goal 4 focuses on culture/climate at the school and will be measured both by student and parent surveys, and through student suspension data.

# 2023-24 Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

English Language Arts

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1 - ACHIEVE - We will increase achievement for all of our students while decreasing performance gaps and educational inequities. (State Priority 4-Pupil Achievement, 8-Other Pupil Outcomes)

## Goal 1

Statewide Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 3% increase).

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 8% increase).

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 8% increase).

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (ELA) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 8% increase).

Local Assessments (From January 2023 - January 2024 (Diagnostic 2):

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 64% to 67%.

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 17% to 25%.

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 56% to 64%.

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (ELA) will increase from 22% to 30%.

## Identified Need

Students in all grades (including target groups) will demonstrate increased proficiency on state and district assessments

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
SBAC ELA Assessment - % of students meeting standard (Grades 3-6)	<p>ALL students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>English Learners, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p>	<p>ALL students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>English Learners, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p>
i-Ready ELA Assessment - % of students at or above grade level (All grades)	<p>ALL students - 64%</p> <p>English Learners - 17%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students - 56%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities - 22%</p>	<p>ALL students - 67%</p> <p>English Learners - 25%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students - 64%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities - 30%</p>

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in grades 4-8

### Strategy/Activity

Continue to foster strong and effective Professional Learning Communities (PLC's). Our PLC's are a group of educators that meet regularly, share expertise, and work collaboratively to improve teaching skills and the overall achievement of all students.

- Staff meetings, site learning days, and department meetings will be used to further the work done within the PLCs. For example, looking at student data to drive next steps and instruction, share best teaching practices across all curriculum areas, discuss ways to provide intervention for all students not just students below grade level.
- PLCs will focus on strengthening their own familiarity with grade level Essential Standards to better align curriculum and instruction for all students.
- Staff will deepen their understanding of effective use of assessment data through collective inquiry to drive instruction, re-teaching, and intervention.
- Staff will work with site IDS Coach in coaching cycles to analyze and deepen understanding of data to guide instruction.
- Staff will be encouraged to invite all stakeholders (IDS Coach, Psychologist, SAI, Principal, Vice Principal, Counselor, Social Worker, etc.) to PLCs to join conversations in how best to serve all of our students and meet their needs.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 2**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in grades 4-8.

#### **Strategy/Activity**

Teachers will focus on improving students' ability to comprehend informational text across all content areas.

- Site learning days will focus on the instructional practice of close reading and text-dependent questions through cycles of professional learning with site IDS teacher.
- Staff meetings, site learning days, and department meetings will be used to support teachers in deepening their understanding of close reading strategies, and how these can be used effectively within their specific content area.
- Staff will participate in peer observations to help deepen their experience with close reading strategies, and develop a school-wide culture of sharing best practices.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 3**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in grades 4-8.

#### **Strategy/Activity**

We will implement a school-wide system of interventions to meet the needs of all students.

- The master schedule will have intervention and study skills classes offered to students in 7th and 8th grade students replacing their second elective if needed.
- District ELOP funds provided for an intervention teacher who is supporting students well below grade level in phonics instruction, using the structured intervention program SIPPS.
- All staff will monitor the below the line list weekly to ensure students are passing all subject matter. Staff who teach elective classes, will provide time for students needing extra academic help.
- SBAC, district benchmark, and iReady data will be analyzed by teachers, IDS Coach, counselor, and administration to determine placement in intervention programs with initial



placement made in August of the new school year and reviewed after each program benchmark assessment.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 4**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

English Learners

#### Strategy/Activity

We will strengthen our school-wide ELD supports.

- In Grade 4-6, Classroom teachers will provide ELD support for students in their own classes ensuring that ELD supports are better aligned to the learning expectations in the rest of the class. This change also provides students in these grade levels with access to a second elective.
- In Grades 7/8, The ELD class has been split into two separate classes for Newcomers, and Non-Newcomers. This allows the teacher to provide more specialized supports based on the needs of the students in these smaller groups.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 5**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Students with Disabilities

#### Strategy/Activity

Structured Study Hall, ELA Support Classes, and Co-Taught ELA classes will be added to support students with academic struggles.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)



# 2023-24 Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Math

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1 - ACHIEVE - We will increase achievement for all of our students while decreasing performance gaps and educational inequities. (State Priority 4-Pupil Achievement, 8-Other Pupil Outcomes)

## Goal 2

Statewide Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 3% increase).

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 8% increase).

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 8% increase).

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 meeting standard on SBAC (Math) will increase from xx to xx (minimum of 8% increase).

Local Assessments:

The percentage of all students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 50% to 53%.

The percentage of English Learners in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 11% to 19%.

The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 42% to 50%.

The percentage of students with disabilities in Grades 4-8 scoring at or above grade level on i-Ready (Math) will increase from 11% to 19%.

## Identified Need

Students in all grades (including target groups) will demonstrate increased proficiency on state and district assessments.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
SBAC Math Assessment - % of students meeting standard (Grades 3-6)	<p>ALL students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>English Learners, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p>	<p>ALL students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>English Learners, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities, Grades 4-8 - XX%</p>
i-Ready Math Assessment - % of students at or above grade level (All grades)	<p>ALL students - 50%</p> <p>English Learners - 11%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students - 42%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities - 11%</p>	<p>ALL students - 53%</p> <p>English Learners - 19%</p> <p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students - 50%</p> <p>Students with Disabilities - 19%</p>

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school’s strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

Continue to foster strong and effective Professional Learning Communities (PLC's). Our PLC's are a group of educators that meet regularly, share expertise, and work collaboratively to improve teaching skills and the overall achievement of all students.

- Staff meetings, site learning days, and department meetings will be used to further the work done within the PLCs. For example, looking at student data to drive next steps and instruction, share best teaching practices across all curriculum areas, discuss ways to provide intervention for all students not just students below grade level.
- PLCs will focus on strengthening their own familiarity with grade level Essential Standards to better align curriculum and instruction for all students.
- Staff will deepen their understanding of effective use of assessment data through collective inquiry to drive instruction, re-teaching, and intervention.
- Staff will work with site IDS Coach in coaching cycles to analyze and deepen understanding of data to guide instruction.
- Staff will be encouraged to invite all stakeholders (IDS Coach, Psychologist, SAI, Principal, Vice Principal, Counselor, Social Worker, etc.) to PLCs to join conversations in how best to serve all of our students and meet their needs.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 2**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

Teachers will focus on improving students' ability to comprehend informational text across all content areas.

- Site learning days will focus on the instructional practice of close reading and text-dependent questions through cycles of professional learning with site IDS teacher.
- Staff meetings, site learning days, and department meetings will be used to support teachers in deepening their understanding of close reading strategies, and how these can be used effectively within their specific content area.
- Staff will participate in peer observations to help deepen their experience with close reading strategies, and develop a school-wide culture of sharing best practices.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 3**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

We will implement a school-wide system of interventions to meet the needs of all students.

- The master schedule will have intervention and study skills classes offered to students in 7th and 8th grade students replacing their second elective if needed.
- All staff will monitor the below the line list weekly to ensure students are passing all subject matter. Staff who teach elective classes, will provide time for students needing extra academic help.
- SBAC, district benchmark, and iReady data will be analyzed by teachers, IDS Coach, counselor, and administration to determine placement in intervention programs with initial placement made in August of the new school year and reviewed after each program benchmark assessment.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

**Strategy/Activity 4**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

English Language Learners

Strategy/Activity

We will strengthen our school-wide ELD supports.

- In Grade 4-6, Classroom teachers will provide ELD support for students in their own classes ensuring that ELD supports are better aligned to the learning expectations in the rest of the class. This change also provides students in these grade levels with access to a second elective.
- In Grades 7/8, We will attempt to cluster students in math classes based on the ELPAC levels to best provide supports to these students. ELOP Intervention Teacher is providing push-in math support with these student clusters.
- Social Worker is working to support students with district-provided interpreter.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

**Strategy/Activity 5**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Students with Disabilities

Strategy/Activity

Structured Study Hall, Math Support Classes, and Co-Taught Math classes will be added to support students with academic struggles.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

# 2023-24 Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Student Engagement

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2 - ENGAGE - We will engage our students and the learning community in order to ensure the skills and supports necessary for social, emotional, and physical well-being. (State Priority 3-Parent Involvement, 5-Pupil Engagement, 6-School Climate)

## Goal 3

The percentage of students who are chronically absent from school will decrease by 1% each year. Specifically our students with disabilities will decrease at least 1%.

## Identified Need

Establishing a climate of respect, belonging, connectedness and safety for our students is critical to their success and their overall wellness. Consistent, supported attendance at school is the first step in ensuring student engagement.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Rate of Chronic Absenteeism	<p>In 2022-2023, La Mesa Arts Academy rate of chronic absenteeism was 22.69%.</p> <p>In 2022-2023, La Mesa Arts Academy rate of chronic absenteeism for students with disabilities was 34.2%.</p>	<p>In 2023-2024, La Mesa Arts Academy will reduce its rate of chronic absenteeism by 1% to 21.69%.</p> <p>In 2023-2024, La Mesa Arts Academy will reduce the rate of chronic absenteeism for students with disabilities was 33.2%.</p>

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in grades 4-8.

### Strategy/Activity

Character Education

- Use school wide broadcast three times a week to share character education aka "Wildcat Way" news to all students.
- ASB school spirit days throughout the year for all students to participate in during lunch with fun activities.
- Welcome assemblies at the beginning of the year and following every school break to remind students of appropriate school before to ensure success for all.
- Selected spotlight students to foster staff/student mentorship with a focus on our students with disabilities.
- Academic Behavior Intervention Team and school social worker to meet with students with chronic absenteeism to develop a plan of success.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

**Strategy/Activity 2**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Students with Dsiabilities

Strategy/Activity

- The Intervention Team will work with case managers to identify, monitor and support students with disabilities who have attendance concerns.
- Social Worker and School Psychologist will conduct home visits when necessary.
- Attendance will be discussed at IEP meetings.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)



# 2023-24 Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

School Climate & Culture

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2 - ENGAGE - We will engage our students and the learning community in order to ensure the skills and supports necessary for social, emotional, and physical well-being. (State Priority 3-Parent Involvement, 5-Pupil Engagement, 6-School Climate)

## Goal 4

Student survey results will demonstrate an increase in the students who respond positively in each of these five domains:

- Culture/Climate
- Growth Mindset
- Self-Management
- Self-Efficacy
- Social Awareness

Parent survey results will demonstrate an increase of parents who respond positively in these areas:

- Support for Academic Learning
- Sense of Community
- Sense of Safety

The number of students suspended will decrease from 2.21% to 1.71%.

## Identified Need

Establishing a climate of respect, belonging, connectedness and safety for our students is critical to their success and their overall wellness. We are committed to prioritizing our students' social-emotional and mental health as well as their academic success.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
SEL Student Survey Results (4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th grade)	<p>The percentage of students who responded favorably in the domains listed below are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Culture/Climate - 72.63%</li> <li>Growth Mindset - 66.54%</li> <li>Self-Management- 69.69%</li> <li>Self-Efficacy- 54.99%</li> <li>Social Awareness- 62.96%</li> </ul>	<p>The percentage of students who respond favorably will increase to the following percentages in each domain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Culture/Climate - 73.63%</li> <li>Growth Mindset - 67.54%</li> <li>Self-Management- 70.69%</li> <li>Self-Efficacy- 55.99%</li> <li>Social Awareness- 63.96%</li> </ul>
Annual Parent Survey Results	The percentage of parents who responded favorably in the	The percentage of parents who respond favorably will increase

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
	domains listed below are as follows:  Support for Academic Learning - 92% Sense of Community - 87% Sense of Safety - 83%	to the following percentages in each domain:  Support for Academic Learning - 93% Sense of Community - 88% Sense of Safety - 82%
Suspension Data	The suspension rate for LMAAC for the 2022-2023 school year is 2.21%	The percentage of students suspended will decrease to 1.71%

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

##### Restorative Practices

- Establish positive relationships between staff and students and among students with strategies such as THINK (Is it; True, Helpful, Inspiring, Necessary, Kind)
- Teach students through modeling how to restore relationships with those they hurt.
- Work with staff to establish interventions and supports where consequences for behaviors are logical and natural and where there is accountability and opportunity to restore and repair harm.
- Offer the district diversion program in lieu of suspension whenever possible.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### Strategy/Activity 2

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

## Behavior

- Investigate and implement alternatives to students being sent out of class for negative behavior as needed.
- Utilize the district diversion program in lieu of suspension as much as possible.
- Utilize groups with counselor and school social worker as much as possible.
- Continue to discuss restorative practice strategies whole staff.
- PBIS Committee will meet monthly to develop systems and strategies to improve behavior through positive motivation. Team will focus on strategies to be used during non-structured time (i.e. Lunch, Intermission).

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 3**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

##### Parent/Community Engagement

- Hold in person coffee and cocoa for each grade level twice a year. This year we tried hosting 7th and 8th grade and we will continue next year. We will also add offering Tea as we heard that is a drink of choice.
- Hold in person Back to School Night, Parent/Teacher Conferences, and Open House
- Offer in person evening concerts, arts and theater nights.
- Hold ELAC and SSC meetings in person and gather parent input.
- Hold school events through the PTSA and Foundation.
- Offer weekly parent sessions on a variety of topics with the school counselor.

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

### **Strategy/Activity 4**

#### **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students grades 4-8.

#### Strategy/Activity

We will communicate with parents regularly about student progress and school activities.

- Utilize parent information systems such as Jupiter communications, school website, and social media (FaceBook/Instagram) to enhance home/school communication.
- Provide translation and interpreters at parent workshops, conferences, school events, as much as possible. Provide translation of written materials when indicated.

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

# Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$0
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$0
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
-------------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$

# Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

## Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Title I		
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement		

## Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
----------------	--------

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
------------------	--------

## Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
------------------	----------------	--------

## Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
-------------	--------------------

# School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Kelley Rabasco	Principal
Debra Sands	Classroom Teacher
Andrew Schulman	Classroom Teacher
Jan Richards	Classroom Teacher
Carrie Faulk	Other School Staff
Sharla Mandere	Parent or Community Member
Gary Hall	Parent or Community Member
Brian Kick	Parent or Community Member
William Achterberg	Parent or Community Member
Kara Dunivin	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

# Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

**Signature**

**Committee or Advisory Group Name**



English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on May 22, 2023.

Attested:



Principal, Kelley Rabasco on May 22, 2023



SSC Chairperson, Garry Hall on May 22, 2023



# Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

## Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

**The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.**

[Educational Partner Involvement](#)

[Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures](#)

[Planned Strategies/Activities](#)

[Annual Review and Update](#)

[Budget Summary](#)

[Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs](#)

[Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements](#)

[Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at [TITLEI@cde.ca.gov](mailto:TITLEI@cde.ca.gov).

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at [SISO@cde.ca.gov](mailto:SISO@cde.ca.gov).

## **Purpose and Description**

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

### **Purpose**

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

### **Description**

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

## **Educational Partner Involvement**

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

*[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]*

*[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]*

## **Resource Inequities**

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

*[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]*

# Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

## Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the “Goal #” for ease of reference.

*[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]*

## Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

*[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]*

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

*[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school’s identification.]*

*[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school’s identification.]*

## Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the “Strategy/Activity #” for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency’s budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

*[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]*

*[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]*

## **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating “All Students” or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

*[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]*

*[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]*

## **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA’s budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

*[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]*

*[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]*

## **Annual Review**

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

## Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

*[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]*

## Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

*From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.*

## Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

*[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]*

# Appendix A: Plan Requirements

## Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

### Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
  - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
    1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
      - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
      - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
        - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
        - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
        - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
        - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
        - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
  - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

### Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
  - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
    - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
    - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
      - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
      - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
      - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
    - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
      - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
      - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
      - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
      - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
      - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
  2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
  3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.



- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
  - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
  - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

# Appendix B:

## Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at [SISO@cde.ca.gov](mailto:SISO@cde.ca.gov).

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseseinvestment.pdf>);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

### Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Educational Partner Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseseinvestment.pdf>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

## **Additional Targeted Support and Improvement**

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

## **Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement**

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## **Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs**

**For a list of active programs, please see the following links:**

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>

ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>

Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019