

Lemon Avenue Elementary School

2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	Lemon Avenue Elementary School
Street	8787 Lemon Avenue
City, State, Zip	La Mesa, CA 91941
Phone Number	(619) 668-5835
Principal	Natalie Jager
Email Address	natalie.jager@lmsvschools.org
School Website	https://www.lmsvschools.org/lemonavenue/
County-District-School (CDS) Code	37681976038517

2022-23 District Contact Information

District Name	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District
Phone Number	(619) 668-5700
Superintendent	David Feliciano
Email Address	info@lmsvsd.net
District Website Address	www.lmsvschools.org

2022-23 School Overview

Lemon Avenue Elementary is near the heart of downtown La Mesa. Our school, in partnership with students, families, staff and the community, creates an environment that values diversity and inspires a passion for lifelong learning and healthy living. Our dedicated and skilled teachers support the academic and social-emotional success of all students, and our entire staff works diligently to create a positive, welcoming and safe school environment. Lemon Avenue's primary academic focus is supporting all students to meet grade level standards in English Language Arts and Mathematics. Learning activities are also integrated in history/social science, physical education, and the arts. The staff provides a balanced and challenging academic program to help all students acquire the skills they need for a successful future. In addition, we are fortunate to have an active parent community who are deeply connected and committed to student success.

Our goals as a school focus on academic excellence, closing the achievement for target groups of students, student wellness, and parent and community engagement. As a school committed to high levels of learning and achievement, we remain focused on continuous improvement. Data analysis of district and state assessments have identified areas of need in English Language Arts and Math. Weekly grade level Professional Learning Community collaborations allow teacher teams to analyze data and plan next steps for instruction based on student performance. An additional focus is placed on our Special Education, English Learners, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in order to put systems of support in place to ensure their success. This is a recursive process, and conversations around instruction and student data also take place in monthly staff meetings.

Student wellness is another area of focus at Lemon Avenue, as we are committed to establishing a climate of respect, connectedness, and safety for our students. Implementation of the Second Step social-emotional learning program is utilized in all classrooms to provide daily lessons on self esteem, respect, and inclusion. The addition of a Social Worker to our staff has provided the opportunity to provide individual and small group support for students, as well as student support in engagement, attendance, and social-emotional needs. Additionally, establishing clear and consistent expectations of behavior throughout campus, while using positive reinforcement to encourage these behaviors, have resulted in over 80% of students reporting they feel welcomed and respected at school on our annual survey.

About this School

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	98
Grade 1	75
Grade 2	79
Grade 3	84
Grade 4	51
Grade 5	55
Grade 6	62
Total Enrollment	504

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	46.8
Male	53.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6
Asian	2.0
Black or African American	4.2
Filipino	0.8
Hispanic or Latino	32.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
Two or More Races	12.5
White	47.2
English Learners	5.4
Foster Youth	0.8
Homeless	1.0
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41.5
Students with Disabilities	11.3

A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	22.00	100.00	449.90	90.93	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	3.50	0.71	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.60	1.14	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	8.50	1.73	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.00	0.00	27.10	5.49	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	22.00	100.00	494.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	20.50	100.00	463.10	93.35	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.20	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.30	1.07	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.30	1.07	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	0.00	0.00	21.30	4.30	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	20.50	100.00	496.10	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.00

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	0.00
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	0.00

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Year and month in which the data were collected		October 2022	
Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync - Year Adopted 2015 Supplemental/Intervention Instructional Materials: Cambrium Learning, Read Well McGraw-Hill, Flex Literacy McGraw-Hill, Wonder Works English Language Development TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022	Yes	0

	K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2009		
Mathematics	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 5th, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Math Expressions - Year Adopted 2015 6th – 8th, College Preparatory Math - Year Adopted 2019 Supplemental Instructional Materials: Moby Max	Yes	0
Science	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Ways - Year Adopted, 2022 K-5th, Pearson Scott-Foresman, California Science - Year Adopted 2007 6th - 8th, Amplify Education Inc, Amplify - Year Adopted 2022 Supplemental Instructional Materials: K-6th, Studies Weekly, Science Weekly	Yes	0
History-Social Science	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K - 6th, Studies Weekly, Studies Weekly - Year Adopted 2020 7th – 8th, National Geographic, World History & US History - Year Adopted 2019	Yes	0
Foreign Language	6th – 8th, Prentice Hall, Realidades - Year Adopted 2004	Yes	0
Health	N/A		
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Principal, custodians, and maintenance department work collaboratively to continuously inspect the facilities on a regular basis. Work orders are generated when needed, and maintenance is performed as required. An annual FIT report is conducted each year to ensure that the facilities are safe and conducive to learning. Schools also receive annual visits from the fire marshall. Fire extinguishers are inspected annually as well.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

December 2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		Replace stained ceiling tiles. Repair flooring and carpeting. Replace missing baseboard.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

LMSV administered the i-Ready diagnostic assessment to its students in lieu of the statewide summative assessment in 2021.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	60	N/A	48	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	47	N/A	35	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	258	255	98.84	1.16	59.61
Female	120	120	100.00	0.00	64.17
Male	138	135	97.83	2.17	55.56
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	12	12	100.00	0.00	41.67
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	90	88	97.78	2.22	52.27
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	28	28	100.00	0.00	64.29
White	114	113	99.12	0.88	64.60
English Learners	20	19	95.00	5.00	36.84
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	16	15	93.75	6.25	60.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	107	107	100.00	0.00	42.06
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	36	34	94.44	5.56	8.82

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	258	256	99.22	0.78	47.27
Female	120	120	100.00	0.00	46.67
Male	138	136	98.55	1.45	47.79
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	12	12	100.00	0.00	25.00
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	90	88	97.78	2.22	31.82
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	28	28	100.00	0.00	64.29
White	114	114	100.00	0.00	56.14
English Learners	20	19	95.00	5.00	5.26
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	16	16	100.00	0.00	43.75
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	107	107	100.00	0.00	27.10
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	36	34	94.44	5.56	0.00

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	45.9	NT	32.21	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	61	100	0	45.9
Female	31	31	100	0	41.94
Male	30	30	100	0	50
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	22	22	100	0	45.45
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	26	26	100	0	46.15
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22	22	100	0	18.18
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parent and community engagement continues to be an area of importance at our site. Regular communication between parents, teachers, and administration is an expectation, as this partnership is the key to our students' success. Here are some ways parents are involved:

- Supporting students at home through regular communication with the teacher (Class Dojo is used school wide for classroom to home communication and translates messages into over 30 languages), ensuring students are reading nightly, and have a designated quiet space to complete homework
- Back to School Night and Parent-Teacher Conferences
- School Site Council membership
- PTA Activities including PTA Meetings, PTA sponsored dine-out events, movie nights
- Art Docent/Classroom Volunteers to teach regular art lessons organized by PTA
- Parent Education
- Classroom Volunteer Opportunities
- Lemon-Aids - volunteering in the designated parent workroom to prep classroom materials (copies, cutting, etc.)
- Field Trip Chaperone

For more information on parent involvement opportunities, please contact our PTA President, Mireya Gill, at mireyagill@yahoo.com.

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	543	533	91	17.1
Female	258	253	43	17.0
Male	285	280	48	17.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	3	0	0.0
Asian	10	10	0	0.0
Black or African American	25	23	10	43.5
Filipino	6	4	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	186	183	40	21.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1	0	0.0
Two or More Races	65	64	9	14.1
White	245	243	32	13.2
English Learners	33	32	8	25.0
Foster Youth	6	6	0	0.0
Homeless	9	9	2	22.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	242	236	58	24.6
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	72	72	20	27.8

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.45	2.27	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.18	0.55	0.52	2.77	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.55	0.00
Female	0.39	0.00
Male	0.70	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	4.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	1.08	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	3.03	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.83	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	2.78	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

In La Mesa-Spring Valley School district, each site creates a Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP). Site plans outline systems, programs, and practices in place to ensure a safe school environment including, but not limited to, child abuse reporting, disaster preparedness, campus access, and drug and alcohol education and emergency response. These plans are approved by the school site council (in December) and later by the La Mesa-Spring Valley School Board of Education (in February).

Each school site has one teacher appointed as the school's Safety Lead. This person attends monthly safety meetings and professional developments with the district's Chief Safety Officer and implements safety initiatives at their sites, in collaboration with site administration. The Safety Lead supports the creation of the site's CSSP and is a member of the larger safety team on each site. The safety team is responsible for periodically conducting hazard and vulnerability assessments of the campus, setting goals and action steps relative to the district Safety Standards, engaging in a Safety Standards Self Assessment, and creating school-wide safety plans and protocols that are detailed in the CSSP. Additionally, all staff are invited to participate in our Safety committee. Lemon Leopard Dads, a volunteer organization, also provides additional supervision support at certain arrival and dismissal times.

Lastly, La Mesa-Spring Valley continues to focus on the safety of staff and students in our district through facilities and infrastructure initiatives. Currently, the district is beginning the implementation of the CrisisGo Emergency Response Platform to strengthen communication and consistency in the event of an emergency. Increased measures for campus safety are also being implemented as part of a series of Facilities Master Plan improvements including but not limited to extensions of current fencing, securing locked gates, implementing single points of entry to campus, and initiating remote entry controlled by office staff at each school site in the district. Such added measures are added to the CSSP, where relevant.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	28		4	
1	27		3	
2	26		3	
3	25		3	
4	22	1	3	
5	34			1
6	30		3	

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	24	1	3	
1	28		3	
2	28		3	
3	20	1	3	
4	27		2	
5	27		2	
6	28		2	
Other	30		1	

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	25		4	
1	25		3	
2	26		3	
3	28		3	
4	26		2	
5	27		2	
6	31		2	

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	0.5
Social Worker	0.5
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	2.6
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	3.0

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,287.19	\$757.42	\$5,529.77	\$98,023.65
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,541.23	\$89,200
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.2	9.6
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$87,271
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-41.7	13.2

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Special Education
 Title II Part A - Improving Teacher Quality
 Title III Part A - Limited English
 Lottery - Instructional Materials

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$58,705	\$52,641
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,510	\$83,981
Highest Teacher Salary	\$109,299	\$107,522
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$139,926	\$136,247
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$151,011	\$142,248
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$139,199
Superintendent Salary	\$223,665	\$242,166
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

Professional Development

Professional learning in La Mesa-Spring Valley School District (LMSVSD) continues evolving to cultivate capacity and efficacy of teachers so they can ensure high levels of learning for each and every student. In recent years, professional learning has emphasized developing a common language around high quality teaching and learning and building collaborative cultures that promote high levels of learning for all students through professional learning communities. This work has included a deeper dive into content standards through the selection of essential standards and discussions around mastery and effective assessment, social-emotional learning and having an equity lens in all of our work. In response to student performance data and classroom observation, current professional learning focuses on aligning instruction to standards and student experience, with extra time and resources dedicated to mathematics, science, and the needs of English learners.

Central to our professional learning in 2022-23 is our focus on improving students' ability to comprehend complex informational text through the use of close reading. Principals and their site Instructional Leadership Team (ILTs) are learning about the quality indicators of close reading and the Framework for Powerful Learning to bring professional learning around close reading and informational text back to their sites. Principals and ILT teams meet with teachers during site learning days (SLDs) once a month for a two hour session to engage in the work. Sites are also learning to engage in the Cycle of Professional Learning by training, engaging in safe practice, observing colleagues, receiving feedback, reading professional literature, looking at student work and data, and monitoring, measuring, and modifying when necessary. Through this cycle of professional learning, teachers will improve their practice and promote student comprehension of informational text.

With professional learning communities (PLCs) as a foundation, teachers continue the work of the SLD through their weekly team meetings. Teachers meet weekly, as a grade level team or within discipline areas, to analyze student data and discuss best practices to address the needs of each and every student. Through the introduction of the Cycle of Professional Learning, teachers will begin observing and providing feedback with PLC members throughout the year.

In addition to site professional learning, our Blended Professional Development program for 2022-23 offers self-selected professional development courses which are offered face-to-face and online. Each teacher in the district is responsible for at least four hours of professional learning outside of their regular work day. Regardless of the learning format, our LMSVSD educators are eager to engage in professional development, and the district is committed to supporting them with many tools, strategies, and training.

In order to customize professional learning for certificated staff, Lead Teachers were hired in specific areas (science, math, ELD, specialized academic instruction (SAI), PE, etc.) and offered a stipend to develop at least three sessions that will be offered through our Professional Blended Learning program. The Lead Teachers will contact their job alike cohort members across the district to gather information on specific training topics of interest, and either provide the training or coordinate with an outside expert to provide it.

Additional individual professional development opportunities offered or planned by Teachers on Special Assignment, Coordinators, Lead Teachers, social workers, and/or administrators for the 2022-23 school year include the following courses:

- Newcomer 101 (to support our newest English Learners)
- Intro to Google Classrooms
- Project Based Learning
- Math Expressions: Getting Started
- Environmental Literacy for Social Studies
- Daily 5 Routine for Running Small Group Rotation
- Amplify: Analyzing Student Work
- High Leverage ELD Strategies
- Number Talks and Dot Talks
- Supporting Diverse Learners
- Virtual Manipulatives in Math Instruction
- Minimizing Math Anxiety
- Redefining Classroom Management
- Social Emotional Learning in PE
- Informational Text in PE

Professional Development

As we continue to support the professional learning of our educators, the LMSVSD Staff website was developed to include pacing guides, essential standards, quick links for tutorials with Google Classroom and other Learning Management Systems, online curriculum supports, and more. The site also includes tools and information for supporting English learners and Special Education students, and providing differentiation for the content areas.

LMSVSD believes in continuous learning, and we will ensure high-quality professional learning to all of our staff throughout the entire 2022-2023 school year.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	5.5	3.5	3.5