

Lemon Avenue Elementary School

2023-2024 School Accountability Report Card

(Published During the 2024-2025 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

A hard copy of the School Accountability Report Card is available at your School Office, upon request.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Admission Requirements for the University of California (UC)	Admission requirements for the UC follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information website at https://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/ .
Admission Requirements for the California State University (CSU)	Eligibility for admission to the CSU is determined by three factors: (1) Specific high school courses, (2) Grades in specified courses and test scores, and (3) Graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information, see the CSU website at https://www2.calstate.edu/ .

2024-25 School Contact Information

School Name	Lemon Avenue Elementary School
Street	8787 Lemon Avenue
City, State, Zip	La Mesa, CA 91941
Phone Number	(619) 668-5835
Principal	Erin Krekeler
Email Address	erin.krekeler@lmsvschools.org
School Website	https://www.lmsvschools.org/lemonavenue/
Grade Span	K-5
County-District-School (CDS) Code	37681976038517

2024-25 District Contact Information

District Name	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District
Phone Number	(619) 668-5700
Superintendent	David Feliciano
Email Address	info@lmsvsd.net
District Website	www.lmsvschools.org

2024-25 School Description and Mission Statement

Lemon Avenue Elementary is near the heart of downtown La Mesa. Our school, in partnership with students, families, staff and the community, creates an environment that values diversity and inspires a passion for lifelong learning and healthy living. Our dedicated and skilled teachers support the academic and social-emotional success of all students, and our entire staff works diligently to create a positive, welcoming and safe school environment. Lemon Avenue's primary academic focus is supporting all students to meet grade level standards in English Language Arts and Mathematics. Learning activities are also integrated in history/social science, physical education, and the arts. The staff provides a balanced and challenging academic program to help all students acquire the skills they need for a successful future. In addition, we are fortunate to have an active parent

2024-25 School Description and Mission Statement

community who are deeply connected and committed to student success.

Our goals as a school focus on academic excellence, closing the achievement for target groups of students, student wellness, and parent and community engagement. As a school committed to high levels of learning and achievement, we remain focused on continuous improvement. Data analysis of district and state assessments have identified areas of need in English Language Arts and Math. Weekly grade level Professional Learning Community collaborations allow teacher teams to analyze data and plan next steps for instruction based on student performance. An additional focus is placed on our Special Education, English Learners, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students in order to put systems of support in place to ensure their success. This is a recursive process, and conversations around instruction and student data also take place in monthly staff meetings.

Student wellness is another area of focus at Lemon Avenue, as we are committed to establishing a climate of respect, connectedness, and safety for our students. Implementation of the Second Step social-emotional learning program is being rolled out in all classrooms to provide daily lessons on self esteem, respect, and inclusion. The addition of a Counselor to our staff has provided the opportunity to provide individual and small group support for students, as well as student support in engagement, attendance, and social-emotional needs. Additionally, establishing clear and consistent expectations of behavior throughout campus, while using positive reinforcement to encourage these behaviors, have resulted in nearly 80% of students reporting they feel welcomed and respected at school on our annual survey.

About this School

2023-24 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	90
Grade 1	84
Grade 2	77
Grade 3	81
Grade 4	75
Grade 5	56
Grade 6	50
Total Enrollment	513

2023-24 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	48.1
Male	51.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4
Asian	1
Black or African American	4.7
Filipino	1.4
Hispanic or Latino	33.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
Two or More Races	11.7
White	46.8
English Learners	7.8
Foster Youth	0.2
Homeless	2.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	46.4
Students with Disabilities	13.1

A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	22.00	100.00	449.90	90.93	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	3.50	0.71	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.60	1.14	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	8.50	1.73	12115.80	4.41
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0.00	0.00	27.10	5.49	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	22.00	100.00	494.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	20.50	100.00	463.10	93.35	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.20	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.30	1.07	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.30	1.07	11953.10	4.28
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0.00	0.00	21.30	4.30	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	20.50	100.00	496.10	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2022-23 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	20.50	100.00	443.10	89.27	231142.40	100.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.40	5566.40	2.00
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	17.10	3.45	14938.30	5.38
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.60	1.14	11746.90	4.23
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0.00	0.00	28.50	5.74	14303.80	5.15
Total Teaching Positions	20.50	100.00	496.40	100.00	277698	100

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00	0
Misassignments	0.00	0.00	0
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00	0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and	0.00	0.00	0

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00	0
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00	0
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00	0

Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	0	0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	0	0

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2024-25 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Year and month in which the data were collected: October 2023

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync - Year Adopted 2015 Supplemental/Intervention Instructional Materials: Cambrium Learning, Read Well McGraw-Hill, Flex Literacy McGraw-Hill, Wonder Works English Language Development TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2009	Yes	0
Mathematics	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 5th, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Math Expressions - Year Adopted 2015 6th – 8th, College Preparatory Math - Year Adopted 2019 Supplemental Instructional Materials: Moby Max	Yes	0
Science	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Ways Year Adopted, 2022 K-5th, Carolina, Carolina 3D Science - Year Adopted 2023 6th - 8th, Amplify Education Inc, Amplify - Year Adopted 2022	Yes	0
History-Social Science	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K - 5th, Studies Weekly, Studies Weekly - Year Adopted 2020	Yes	0

	6th – 8th, National Geographic, World History & US History - Year Adopted 2019		
Foreign Language	6th – 8th, Vista Higher Learning, Senderos - Year Adopted 2023	Yes	0
Health	N/A		
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Principal, custodians, and maintenance department work collaboratively to continuously inspect the facilities on a regular basis. Work orders are generated when needed, and maintenance is performed as required. An annual FIT report is conducted each year to ensure that the facilities are safe and conducive to learning. Schools also receive annual visits from the Fire Marshall. Fire extinguishers and fire alarm systems are inspected annually as well.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report October 2024

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X	A work order has been created in the districts work order tracking system. These items will be addressed and corrected before the 25/26 inspections. Safety concerns will be addressed immediately.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		X		A work order has been created in the districts work order tracking system. These items will be addressed and corrected before the 25/26 inspections. Safety concerns will be addressed immediately.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		X		A work order has been created in the districts work order tracking system. These items will be addressed and corrected before the 25/26 inspections. Safety concerns will be addressed immediately.

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	62	53	47	46	46	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	57	47	36	37	34	35

2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus

the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	265	259	97.74	2.26	52.51
Female	120	117	97.50	2.50	53.85
Male	145	142	97.93	2.07	51.41
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	13	12	92.31	7.69	25.00
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	97	97	100.00	0.00	44.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	29	27	93.10	6.90	55.56
White	116	116	100.00	0.00	62.07
English Learners	25	21	84.00	16.00	9.52
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	12	12	100.00	0.00	50.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	122	119	97.54	2.46	31.93
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	42	42	100.00	0.00	11.90

2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	265	261	98.49	1.51	46.74
Female	120	119	99.17	0.83	42.02
Male	145	142	97.93	2.07	50.70
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	13	12	92.31	7.69	8.33
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	97	97	100.00	0.00	35.05
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	29	27	93.10	6.90	70.37
White	116	116	100.00	0.00	56.03
English Learners	25	23	92.00	8.00	4.35
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	12	12	100.00	0.00	41.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	122	120	98.36	1.64	27.50
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	42	42	100.00	0.00	7.14

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated in a science assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	36.00	40.74	27.76	32.49	30.29	30.73

2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	55	55	100.00	0.00	40.00
Female	23	23	100.00	0.00	34.78
Male	32	32	100.00	0.00	43.75
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	24	24	100.00	0.00	33.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	22	22	100.00	0.00	50.00
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29	29	100.00	0.00	31.03
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	11	11	100.00	0.00	9.09

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2023-24 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT requires only participation results for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	98.15%	96.30%	96.30%	96.30%	96.30%

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2024-25 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parent and community engagement continues to be an area of importance at our site. Regular communication between parents, teachers, and administration is an expectation, as this partnership is the key to our students' success. Here are some ways parents are involved:

- Supporting students at home through regular communication with the teacher (Class Dojo is used school wide for classroom to home communication and translates messages into over 30 languages), ensuring students are reading nightly, and have a designated quiet space to complete homework
- Back to School Night and Parent-Teacher Conferences
- School Site Council membership
- PTA Activities including PTA Meetings, PTA sponsored dine-out events, movie nights
- Art Docent/Classroom Volunteers to teach regular art lessons organized by PTA
- Parent Education
- Volunteer Opportunities - in designated classrooms, helping to prep classroom materials (copies, cutting, etc.), events and more
- Field Trip Chaperone

For more information on parent involvement opportunities, please contact our PTA President, Sarah Haliburton, at lemonaveptapresident@gmail.com.

2023-24 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	545	540	75	13.9
Female	264	261	37	14.2
Male	281	279	38	13.6
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	25	25	5	20.0
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	186	184	42	22.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	63	63	4	6.3
White	251	248	22	8.9
English Learners	48	47	9	19.1
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	14	14	5	35.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	259	257	54	21.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	92	92	27	29.3

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data.

Rate	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2021-22	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
Suspensions	0.55	3.57	2.39	2.77	3.14	2.9	3.17	3.6	3.28
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.07

2023-24 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	2.39	0.00
Female	0.38	0.00
Male	4.27	0.00
Non-Binary	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	4.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	3.76	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	3.17	0.00
White	0.80	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	14.29	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3.47	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	6.52	0.00

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2024-25 School Safety Plan

In La Mesa-Spring Valley School districts, each site creates a Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP). Site plans outline systems, programs, and practices in place to ensure a safe school environment including, but not limited to, child abuse reporting, disaster preparedness, campus access, and drug and alcohol education and emergency response. These plans are approved by the school site council (in December) and later by the La Mesa-Spring Valley School Board of Education (in February).

Each school site has one teacher appointed as the school's Safety Lead. This person attends bi-monthly safety meetings and

2024-25 School Safety Plan

professional developments with the district's Chief Safety Officer and implements safety initiatives at their sites, in collaboration with site administration. The Safety Lead supports the creation of the site's CSSP and is a member of the larger safety team on each site. The safety team is responsible for periodically conducting hazard and vulnerability assessments of the campus, setting goals and action steps relative to the district Safety Standards, engaging in a Safety Standards Self Assessment, and creating school-wide safety plans and protocols that are detailed in the CSSP.

Lastly, La Mesa-Spring Valley continues to focus on the safety of staff and students in our district through facilities and infrastructure initiatives. Increased measures for campus safety are also being implemented as part of a series of Facilities Master Plan improvements including but not limited to extensions of current fencing, securing locked gates, implementing single points of entry to campus, and initiating remote entry controlled by office staff at each school site in the district. Such added measures are added to the CSSP, where relevant.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	25		4	
1	25		3	
2	26		3	
3	28		3	
4	26		2	
5	27		2	
6	31		2	

2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	24		1	
1	26		2	
2	27		3	
3	29		3	
4	30		2	
5	30		1	
6	28		2	
Other	28		5	

2023-24 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	22		3	
1	28		3	
2	26		3	
3	27		3	
4	31		2	
5	27		1	
6	32		1	
Other	31		2	

2023-24 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2023-24 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. The "Other" category is for all other student support services staff positions not listed.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	0.6
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	2.8
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2022-23 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,978.64	\$1,912.84	\$5,065.80	\$94,170.79
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,067.48	\$96,958
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0	-2.9
State	N/A	N/A	\$10,771	\$96,325
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-72.0	-2.3

Fiscal Year 2023-24 Types of Services Funded

Special Education
 Title II Part A - Improving Teacher Quality
 Title III Part A - Limited English
 Lottery - Instructional Materials

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2022-23 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$64,735	\$58,553
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$87,102	\$93,924
Highest Teacher Salary	\$120,345	\$119,489
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$153,802	\$149,898
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$170,154	\$157,111
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$151,698
Superintendent Salary	\$255,979	\$270,432
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

Professional Development

Professional learning in La Mesa-Spring Valley School District (LMSVSD) continues evolving to cultivate the capacity and efficacy of teachers so they can ensure high levels of learning for each and every student. In recent years, professional learning has emphasized developing a common language around high-quality teaching and learning and building collaborative cultures that promote high levels of learning for all students through professional learning communities. In response to student performance data and classroom observation, current professional learning focuses on aligning instruction to standards and student experience, with extra time and resources dedicated to literacy and the needs of English learners.

Central to our professional learning in 2023-24 was our focus on improving students' ability to comprehend complex informational text through the use of powerful practices: collaborative conversations, short constructed responses, and close reading. Principals and their site Instructional Leadership Team (ILTs) continued their work with the quality indicators and the Framework for Powerful Learning to bring professional learning around the powerful practices back to their sites. Principals and ILT teams met monthly with teachers for a two-hour Site Learning Day (SLD) session to engage in this work. Sites also learned to apply the Cycle of Professional Learning, which includes training, safe practice, observing colleagues, receiving feedback, reading professional literature, looking at student work and data, and monitoring, measuring, and modifying when necessary. Through this cycle of professional learning, teachers refine their approach, promoting stronger student comprehension of informational text.

Building on the foundation of professional learning communities (PLCs), teachers extend their SLD work through weekly team meetings. These sessions, either by grade level or within discipline areas, focus on analyzing student data and sharing strategies to support every student's learning needs. By continually engaging in the Cycle of Professional Learning, teachers observe one another and provide ongoing feedback within PLCs throughout the year.

Alongside site-based professional learning, our 2023-24 Blended Professional Development program offered a mix of required and self-selected courses. Each teacher is responsible for completing at least four hours of professional development outside their regular workday. This included a two-hour required session focused on literacy and an additional two hours of self-selected courses from a variety of offerings. To further personalize professional learning, Lead Teachers were chosen to present literacy-focused sessions, ensuring each teacher receives a literacy course customized for their grade level or subject area. LMSVSD educators are enthusiastic about growing their skills, and the district is committed to supporting them with valuable tools, strategies, and training.

Additional individual professional development opportunities will be planned and offered by Teachers on Special Assignment, Coordinators, Lead Teachers, social workers, and/or administrators for the 2023-34 school year. Some examples of course offerings included:

- Evidence-Based Practices
- Collaborative Conversations about the Mathematical Practices
- CPM Math

Professional Development

Tools for Testing
 Amplify: Writing in Science
 Amplify: Supporting English Learners in Science
 Amplify: Supporting Diverse Learners in Science
 Carolina Science
 AI Tools for Every Classroom
 EduProtocols
 Consequences That Work!
 Brain Development in Young Children
 Powerful Practices for Designated ELD
 SEL Through Movement
 Unlocking Synergy: Elevating Collaborative Conversations
 Centers, Rotations, and Literacy Stations
 Number Talks and Writing Throughout the Day
 Fluency Without Fear: Math Facts

As we continue to support the professional learning of our educators, the LMSVSD Staff website continues to be enhanced to include pacing guides, essential standards, quick links for tutorials with Google Classroom and other Learning Management Systems, online curriculum support, and more. The site also includes tools and information for supporting Multilingual English Learners and Special Education students, as well as providing differentiation resources for the content areas.

LMSVSD believes in continuous learning, and we will ensure high-quality professional learning for all our staff.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3.5	3.5	3.5