

# Murray Manor Elementary School Site

## 2023-2024 School Accountability Report Card

(Published During the 2024-2025 School Year)



### General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

#### SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

A hard copy of the School Accountability Report Card is available at your School Office, upon request.

#### DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### Admission Requirements for the University of California (UC)

Admission requirements for the UC follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information website at <https://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/>.

### Admission Requirements for the California State University (CSU)

Eligibility for admission to the CSU is determined by three factors: (1) Specific high school courses, (2) Grades in specified courses and test scores, and (3) Graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information, see the CSU website at <https://www2.calstate.edu/>.

## 2024-25 School Contact Information

<b>School Name</b>	Murray Manor Elementary School Site
<b>Street</b>	8305 El Paso Street
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	La Mesa, CA 91942
<b>Phone Number</b>	(619) 668-5865
<b>Principal</b>	Kim Dinsdale
<b>Email Address</b>	kimberly.dinsdale@lmsvsd.net
<b>School Website</b>	<a href="https://www.lmsvschools.org/murraymanor/">https://www.lmsvschools.org/murraymanor/</a>
<b>Grade Span</b>	K-5
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	37-68197-6038533

## 2024-25 District Contact Information

<b>District Name</b>	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(619) 668-5700
<b>Superintendent</b>	David Feliciano
<b>Email Address</b>	info@lmsvsd.net
<b>District Website</b>	www.lmsvschools.org

## 2024-25 School Description and Mission Statement

For decades, Murray Manor has had the great honor to serve the families in the city of La Mesa. It is not out of the norm to encounter generations of families who have attended Murray Manor, which is a great source of pride. Our school currently serves approximately 535 students in transitional kindergarten through fifth grade. Murray Manor, in partnership with students, families, staff, and the community, creates a learning environment that focuses on academic excellence, building a lifelong love of learning, valuing diversity, and developing strong character.

Murray Manor's staff is dedicated to academic excellence and continuous improvement. Our staff engages in Cycles of

## 2024-25 School Description and Mission Statement

Professional Learning to improve instruction and positively impact student learning. Each week teachers work in Professional Learning Communities that include the general education teachers, special education teachers, and the instructional data support teacher to analyze data from state and district assessments. Additionally, we utilize formative assessments in both math and English-Language Arts to help guide instruction. These collaborations ensure that all of the instructional experts provide input regarding extra time, support, and equity for all of our learners. Additionally, our staff attends a variety of district and site-based professional development opportunities to improve instruction and student learning.

Creating an environment that is welcoming, safe, and positive is something that the Murray Manor staff deeply values. The weekly "Mustang Mantra" lessons support character development, social and emotional learning, and provide positive inspirations including, but not limited to, kindness, respect, inclusiveness, and a growth mindset. Additionally, as a staff, we focus on establishing clear and consistent expectations for behavior throughout the campus by utilizing positive reinforcement and restorative practices. Our mission is to educate, inspire, and develop students of character who have a positive impact on the lives of others.

Family commitment is an integral and historic part of the success of Murray Manor School. Our families have multiple ways to become more involved with our campus. However, as with any successful relationship, it is believed that this begins with positive, on-going communications between home and school. Murray Manor parents receive weekly communications from school administration, as well as invitations to monthly coffee hours at Peace Corral assemblies or with the principal and school social worker. Parent education is provided via our school social worker who creates timely, relevant lessons based on both immediate needs of the school community and, often, by parent request. Parents are also invited to be a part of the school decision-making process by attending School Site Council (SSC) meetings, English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC), and/or Parent Teacher Association (PTA) meetings.

Murray Manor is recognized for academic excellence and a safe and supportive school learning environment. We are proud of the elements that help us achieve this recognition: rigorous and targeted academics supported by a dynamic staff, as well as the adoption of a school culture that encourages inclusiveness, risk-taking, courage, and a growth mindset.

## About this School

### 2023-24 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	94
Grade 1	86
Grade 2	85
Grade 3	88
Grade 4	81
Grade 5	72
Grade 6	62
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>568</b>

## 2023-24 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	45.1
Male	54.9
Asian	5.8
Black or African American	6.7
Filipino	1.8
Hispanic or Latino	34
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
Two or More Races	8.6
White	42.3
English Learners	7.6
Homeless	0.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	44.9
Students with Disabilities	20.4

### A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	23.50	93.63	449.90	90.93	228366.10	83.12
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.60	2.39	3.50	0.71	4205.90	1.53
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	1.00	3.98	5.60	1.14	11216.70	4.08
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	8.50	1.73	12115.80	4.41
<b>Unknown/Incomplete/NA</b>	0.00	0.00	27.10	5.49	18854.30	6.86
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	25.10	100.00	494.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	21.50	91.49	463.10	93.35	234405.20	84.00
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.20	4853.00	1.74
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	5.30	1.07	12001.50	4.30
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	5.30	1.07	11953.10	4.28
<b>Unknown/Incomplete/NA</b>	2.00	8.51	21.30	4.30	15831.90	5.67
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	23.50	100.00	496.10	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2022-23 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	21.50	87.76	443.10	89.27	231142.40	100.00
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.40	5566.40	2.00
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	1.00	4.08	17.10	3.45	14938.30	5.38
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	5.60	1.14	11746.90	4.23
<b>Unknown/Incomplete/NA</b>	2.00	8.16	28.50	5.74	14303.80	5.15
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	24.50	100.00	496.40	100.00	277698	100

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

### Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
<b>Permits and Waivers</b>	0.00	0.00	0
<b>Misassignments</b>	1.00	0.00	1
<b>Vacant Positions</b>	0.00	0.00	0
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and</b>	1.00	0.00	1

### Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
<b>Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver</b>	0.00	0.00	0
<b>Local Assignment Options</b>	0.00	0.00	0
<b>Total Out-of-Field Teachers</b>	0.00	0.00	0

## Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	5.50	0	5
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach</b> (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	3.70	0	0

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

## 2024-25 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Year and month in which the data were collected: October 2023

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync - Year Adopted 2015  Supplemental/Intervention Instructional Materials: Cambrium Learning, Read Well McGraw-Hill, Flex Literacy McGraw-Hill, Wonder Works  English Language Development TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 6th, McGraw-Hill, Wonders, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2016 7th – 8th, McGraw-Hill, StudySync, English Language Development - Year Adopted 2009	Yes	0
<b>Mathematics</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K – 5th, Houghton Mifflin-Harcourt, Math Expressions - Year Adopted 2015 6th – 8th, College Preparatory Math - Year Adopted 2019  Supplemental Instructional Materials: Moby Max	Yes	0
<b>Science</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Ways Year Adopted, 2022 K-5th, Carolina, Carolina 3D Science - Year Adopted 2023 6th - 8th, Amplify Education Inc, Amplify - Year Adopted 2022	Yes	0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	TK, Scholastic, Pre-K on my Way - Year Adopted 2022 K - 5th, Studies Weekly, Studies Weekly - Year Adopted 2020	Yes	0

	6th – 8th, National Geographic, World History & US History - Year Adopted 2019		
<b>Foreign Language</b>	6th – 8th, Vista Higher Learning, Senderos - Year Adopted 2023	Yes	0
<b>Health</b>	N/A		
<b>Visual and Performing Arts</b>	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Principal, custodians, and maintenance department work collaboratively to continuously inspect the facilities on a regular basis. Work orders are generated when needed, and maintenance is performed as required. An annual FIT report is conducted each year to ensure that the facilities are safe and conducive to learning. Schools also receive annual visits from the Fire Marshall. Fire extinguishers and fire alarm systems are inspected annually as well.

**Year and month of the most recent FIT report** August 2024

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical</b>	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

## Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		



## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

### Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

### College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	60	56	47	46	46	47
<b>Mathematics</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	54	52	36	37	34	35

## 2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus

the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	299	290	96.99	3.01	56.06
Female	122	120	98.36	1.64	63.87
Male	177	170	96.05	3.95	50.59
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	15	15	100.00	0.00	73.33
Black or African American	20	20	100.00	0.00	35.00
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	98	97	98.98	1.02	46.39
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	31	27	87.10	12.90	51.85
White	129	125	96.90	3.10	66.94
English Learners	25	24	96.00	4.00	4.17
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	11	11	100.00	0.00	54.55
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	146	141	96.58	3.42	42.86
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	76	72	94.74	5.26	15.28

## 2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	299	290	96.99	3.01	51.72
<b>Female</b>	122	119	97.54	2.46	46.22
<b>Male</b>	177	171	96.61	3.39	55.56
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	15	15	100.00	0.00	66.67
<b>Black or African American</b>	20	20	100.00	0.00	30.00
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	98	98	100.00	0.00	41.84
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	31	27	87.10	12.90	66.67
<b>White</b>	129	124	96.12	3.88	59.68
<b>English Learners</b>	25	25	100.00	0.00	4.00
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	11	11	100.00	0.00	54.55
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	146	141	96.58	3.42	36.88
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	76	73	96.05	3.95	16.44

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated in a science assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
<b>Science</b> (grades 5, 8 and high school)	27.78	42.42	27.76	32.49	30.29	30.73

## 2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	70	70	100.00	0.00	40.00
<b>Female</b>	31	31	100.00	0.00	54.84
<b>Male</b>	39	39	100.00	0.00	28.21
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	18	18	100.00	0.00	22.22
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	32	32	100.00	0.00	59.38
<b>English Learners</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Homeless</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	37	37	100.00	0.00	27.03
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	18	18	100.00	0.00	16.67

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

#### 2023-24 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT requires only participation results for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	98.57%	98.57%	98.57%	98.36%	98.57%

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

#### 2024-25 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents are critical to our success at Murray Manor. We want all families to feel welcome and important. We ask that families be educational partners in their student's education. We know that learning and growing for children is impacted by an adult's attention and encouragement. We understand that there is a positive and convincing relationship between family involvement and a child's academic success. This relationship holds true across families of all economic, racial/ethnic, and educational backgrounds. By being involved, active, and working together, we will continue the tradition of excellence at Murray Manor. We understand that students who feel encouraged and cared for through positive relationships with the adults in their lives are able to achieve at high levels.

We encourage parents and families to become involved in, and outside, of school. This includes parent educational presentations, Parent/Teacher Association (PTA), School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) as well as other school events such as, Back to School Night, MUM PTA activities, and others. There are other parent involvement opportunities throughout the year such as Art or Garden Docent programs, and classroom volunteers. Our partnerships with Grossmont High School, community agencies, and local businesses provide strong links to the community. Parents/Guardians are always welcome and encouraged to become involved at Murray Manor.

##### Parent Volunteer Information:

MUM School Office, (619) 668-5865

Bianca Lopez/Regina Stadler, MUM PTA Co-President, (619) 668-5865

## 2023-24 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	590	588	106	18.0
Female	265	264	49	18.6
Male	325	324	57	17.6
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	34	34	2	5.9
Black or African American	41	41	10	24.4
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	203	201	51	25.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	51	51	8	15.7
White	245	245	33	13.5
English Learners	48	47	10	21.3
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	277	275	88	32.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	146	146	43	29.5

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data.

Rate	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2021-22	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
<b>Suspensions</b>	1.16	1.11	0.85	2.77	3.14	2.9	3.17	3.6	3.28
<b>Expulsions</b>	0	0	0	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.07

## 2023-24 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
<b>All Students</b>	0.85	0.00
<b>Female</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Male</b>	1.54	0.00
<b>Non-Binary</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Asian</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Black or African American</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Filipino</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	0.99	0.00
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Two or More Races</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>White</b>	1.22	0.00
<b>English Learners</b>	2.08	0.00
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Homeless</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	1.81	0.00
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	2.74	0.00

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

## 2024-25 School Safety Plan

In La Mesa-Spring Valley School districts, each site creates a Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP). Site plans outline systems, programs, and practices in place to ensure a safe school environment including, but not limited to, child abuse reporting, disaster preparedness, campus access, and drug and alcohol education and emergency response. These plans are approved by the school site council (in December) and later by the La Mesa-Spring Valley School Board of Education (in February).

Each school site has one teacher appointed as the school's Safety Lead. This person attends bi-monthly safety meetings and



## 2024-25 School Safety Plan

professional developments with the district's Chief Safety Officer and implements safety initiatives at their sites, in collaboration with site administration. The Safety Lead supports the creation of the site's CSSP and is a member of the larger safety team on each site. The safety team is responsible for periodically conducting hazard and vulnerability assessments of the campus, setting goals and action steps relative to the district Safety Standards, engaging in a Safety Standards Self Assessment, and creating school-wide safety plans and protocols that are detailed in the CSSP.

Lastly, La Mesa-Spring Valley continues to focus on the safety of staff and students in our district through facilities and infrastructure initiatives. Increased measures for campus safety are also being implemented as part of a series of Facilities Master Plan improvements including but not limited to extensions of current fencing, securing locked gates, implementing single points of entry to campus, and initiating remote entry controlled by office staff at each school site in the district. Such added measures are added to the CSSP, where relevant.

## D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## 2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	21		3	
1	26		3	
2	30		3	
3	36		2	1
4	26		2	
5	29		2	
6	32		2	
Other	19	2	2	

## 2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	23		4	
1	25		3	
2	26		3	
3	35		2	1
4	28		2	
5	30		2	
6	33		1	1
Other	19	2	2	

## 2023-24 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	23		3	
1	26		3	
2	28		3	
3	38		2	1
4	30		2	
5	30		2	
6	30		2	
Other	16	2	1	

## 2023-24 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

## 2023-24 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. The "Other" category is for all other student support services staff positions not listed.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1

## Fiscal Year 2022-23 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2022-23 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,898.22	\$2,901.51	\$3,996.71	\$99,949.75
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,067.48	\$96,958
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-23.6	3.0
State	N/A	N/A	\$10,771	\$96,325
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-91.7	3.7

## Fiscal Year 2023-24 Types of Services Funded

Special Education  
 Title II Part A - Improving Teacher Quality  
 Title III Part A - Limited English  
 Lottery - Instructional Materials

## Fiscal Year 2022-23 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2022-23 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$64,735	\$58,553
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$87,102	\$93,924
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$120,345	\$119,489
<b>Average Principal Salary (Elementary)</b>	\$153,802	\$149,898
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$170,154	\$157,111
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>	\$0	\$151,698
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$255,979	\$270,432
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	32%	32%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	5%	6%

## Professional Development

Professional learning in La Mesa-Spring Valley School District (LMSVSD) continues evolving to cultivate the capacity and efficacy of teachers so they can ensure high levels of learning for each and every student. In recent years, professional learning has emphasized developing a common language around high-quality teaching and learning and building collaborative cultures that promote high levels of learning for all students through professional learning communities. In response to student performance data and classroom observation, current professional learning focuses on aligning instruction to standards and student experience, with extra time and resources dedicated to literacy and the needs of English learners.

Central to our professional learning in 2023-24 was our focus on improving students' ability to comprehend complex informational text through the use of powerful practices: collaborative conversations, short constructed responses, and close reading. Principals and their site Instructional Leadership Team (ILTs) continued their work with the quality indicators and the Framework for Powerful Learning to bring professional learning around the powerful practices back to their sites. Principals and ILT teams met monthly with teachers for a two-hour Site Learning Day (SLD) session to engage in this work. Sites also learned to apply the Cycle of Professional Learning, which includes training, safe practice, observing colleagues, receiving feedback, reading professional literature, looking at student work and data, and monitoring, measuring, and modifying when necessary. Through this cycle of professional learning, teachers refine their approach, promoting stronger student comprehension of informational text.

Building on the foundation of professional learning communities (PLCs), teachers extend their SLD work through weekly team meetings. These sessions, either by grade level or within discipline areas, focus on analyzing student data and sharing strategies to support every student's learning needs. By continually engaging in the Cycle of Professional Learning, teachers observe one another and provide ongoing feedback within PLCs throughout the year.

Alongside site-based professional learning, our 2023-24 Blended Professional Development program offered a mix of required and self-selected courses. Each teacher is responsible for completing at least four hours of professional development outside their regular workday. This included a two-hour required session focused on literacy and an additional two hours of self-selected courses from a variety of offerings. To further personalize professional learning, Lead Teachers were chosen to present literacy-focused sessions, ensuring each teacher receives a literacy course customized for their grade level or subject area. LMSVSD educators are enthusiastic about growing their skills, and the district is committed to supporting them with valuable tools, strategies, and training.

Additional individual professional development opportunities will be planned and offered by Teachers on Special Assignment, Coordinators, Lead Teachers, social workers, and/or administrators for the 2023-34 school year. Some examples of course offerings included:

- Evidence-Based Practices
- Collaborative Conversations about the Mathematical Practices
- CPM Math

## Professional Development

Tools for Testing  
Amplify: Writing in Science  
Amplify: Supporting English Learners in Science  
Amplify: Supporting Diverse Learners in Science  
Carolina Science  
AI Tools for Every Classroom  
EduProtocols  
Consequences That Work!  
Brain Development in Young Children  
Powerful Practices for Designated ELD  
SEL Through Movement  
Unlocking Synergy: Elevating Collaborative Conversations  
Centers, Rotations, and Literacy Stations  
Number Talks and Writing Throughout the Day  
Fluency Without Fear: Math Facts

As we continue to support the professional learning of our educators, the LMSVSD Staff website continues to be enhanced to include pacing guides, essential standards, quick links for tutorials with Google Classroom and other Learning Management Systems, online curriculum support, and more. The site also includes tools and information for supporting Multilingual English Learners and Special Education students, as well as providing differentiation resources for the content areas.

LMSVSD believes in continuous learning, and we will ensure high-quality professional learning for all our staff.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>	3.5	3.5	3.5