LMSV Five Year Plan for Community Schools Implementation

Principles & Practices	Stage 1 (24/25): Structure Building/Exploring	Stage 2 (25/26): Emerging	Stage 3 (26/27): Maturing	Stage 4 (27/28 - 28/29): Excelling
1. Long-Term Partnerships	Actions: Initial meetings with stakeholders to build relationships. Intent to form long-term partnerships discussed. Communication: Limited to initial stakeholders (district, key community partners).	Actions: Formal agreements or MOUs established, showing commitment to long-term partnerships. Communication: Broader communication with school staff and some community members.	Actions: Regular and sustained engagement with all partners. Clear strategies for maintaining partnerships regardless of funding changes. Communication: Regular updates and consistent communication with all stakeholders, including parents, students, and community leaders.	Actions: Long-term partnerships solidified, seen as central to school culture and goals. Maintained across political and funding changes. Communication: Seamless, transparent communication across all levels with evidence of ongoing collaboration.

2.	Shared Vision:	Shared Vision: Vision	Shared Vision: Broad,	Shared Vision: Vision
Sustainability	Early-stage development	is clear, with growing	collective vision embraced	ingrained in community
Sustainability Planning	Early-stage development of shared vision among key players. Support: Initial outreach to leaders and community. Strategic Financing: Exploration of potential funding sources. Agreed-Upon Results: Preliminary discussions around results.	is clear, with growing buy-in from a wider group. Support: Leaders at school and district levels show increasing support. Strategic Financing: Some private and public funding secured, though not diversified. Agreed-Upon Results: Consensus reached on core outcomes.	collective vision embraced by most partners. Support: Widespread support from leaders, community organizations, and parents. Strategic Financing: Diversified funding from public and private sources. Agreed-Upon Results: Measurable outcomes established, with shared accountability.	ingrained in community identity, influencing long-term planning. Support: Full and consistent support from local, regional, and state leaders. Strategic Financing: Secured, multi-year funding streams across various sources. Agreed-Upon Results: Ongoing assessment and refinement of results with shared ownership.
3. Evaluation	Process: Basic data collection systems set up, identifying key indicators. Impact: Little or no impact data available yet. Use of Data: Data used minimally for program improvements.	Process: Evaluation framework developed, and baseline data collected. Impact: Some evidence of program impact emerging. Use of Data: Data used in planning and improving strategies, with focus on initial results.	Process: Regular data collection and analysis. Impact: Clear evidence of positive program impact. Use of Data: Data fully integrated into decision-making and continuous improvement.	Process: Advanced data collection and analysis systems in place. Impact: Widespread and well-documented impact. Use of Data: Data-driven strategies are used to drive all programmatic and partnership decisions.

4. Marketing and	Capacity: Basic	Capacity: Initial	Capacity: Robust	Capacity: Fully developed
Communications	communication plan in	capacity to	communications	and effective
	development.	communicate with	infrastructure established.	communication systems in
	Content: Early-stage	stakeholders	Content: Regular	place.
	communications focus on	developed.	communication about	Content: Clear, consistent
	program introduction.	Content:	program successes and its	messaging about
	Confidence: Limited	Communications	positive impact on	sustained success and
	confidence in	include early	academic and community	future direction.
	management systems, as	successes and	outcomes.	Confidence: Widespread
	they are still forming.	program goals.	Confidence: High	confidence in the
		Confidence:	confidence in the	management, leadership,
		Confidence in	management systems and	and outcomes of the
		management systems	processes.	initiative.
		building among		
		partners.		

5. Capacity	Infrastructure: Limited	Infrastructure: Some
Building	infrastructure in place to	infrastructure in place
	support capacity building;	for supporting
	staffing in beginning	capacity-building
	stages and learning about	efforts; staffing fully in
	grant objectives; planning	place and learning
	for CRCs begins	about grant objectives
	Stakeholder	is refined; CRCs are
	Engagement: Initial	fully operational
	engagement with some	Stakeholder
	key stakeholders.	Engagement:
	Cross-Site Sharing: Little	Engagement with a
	or no sharing among	wider set of
	sites.	stakeholders, including
		parents and
		community partners.

Cross-Site Sharing:

Sharing of best

practices begins

across some sites.

Infrastructure: Strong Infrastructure: Fully developed infrastructure infrastructure supports capacity building at multiple that supports sustained levels; staff demonstrate capacity building across competency in grant all sites; staff demonstrate objectives; CRCs are fully expertise in grant operational and seen as a objectives; CRCs are fully support for the school operational and seen as a community support for the school Stakeholder Engagement: district Ongoing engagement with Stakeholder all stakeholders, including **Engagement**: Fully teachers, families, and engaged community of community leaders. stakeholders with shared **Cross-Site Sharing:** ownership of Regular sharing and capacity-building efforts. collaboration across all **Cross-Site Sharing:** sites. Consistent, strategic sharing of best practices across all sites, leading to continuous improvement.